



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24612 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.24612 of 2025

Akash

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
W7 All Women Police Station
Anna Nagar,
Chennai District.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of the arrest in **Crime No.Not Known of 2025** on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.Jawahar Jayaraman

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.24612 of 2025



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section **69 of IPC, in Crime No.32 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation of the petitioner is that he made promise to the victim girl to marry her and had sexual relationship and subsequently, refused to marry and also received a huge amount from her and threatened her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant were in consensual relationship for some period and due to misunderstanding between them, they were separated and subsequently, he is not able to marry the victim girl. He further submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the defacto complainant submitted that the petitioner is not only cheated the defacto complainant by promising to marry but also received the valuables of Rs.8 lakhs has been subsequently cheated.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police reported that the statement of the victim girl recorded and on perusal of the same, it shows that she is not specifically stated in the statement regarding what are the articles or valuables or money taken away by the petitioner from her, further in the FIR it is revealed that they were in relationship for several years and subsequently, separated themselves. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the fact that, both were under relationship for long period and thereafter they separated and also considering their age, I am of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary and this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Additional Mahila Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai**, on condition that the



petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] however, the petitioner shall co-operate for the medical examination if any required;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24612 of 2025

have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

23.09.2025

dna

To

1.The Additional Mahila Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore, Chennai,

2. The Inspector of Police,
W7 All Women Police Station
Anna Nagar,
Chennai District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.24612 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.
dna

Crl.O.P.No.24612 of 2025

23.09.2025