



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.23761 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.23761 of 2025

Vignesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by
Inspector of Police,
B5, Walajabad Police Station,
Kancheepuram Police District.
(Cr.No.252 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in
Cr.No.252 of 2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Elangovan
for M/s.Ram Gokul Advocates
and Associates
For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
23.07.2025 for the alleged offence under Section 8(c) and Section
20(b)(ii)(B) of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 in
Crime No.252 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegations against the petitioner is that the petitioner was



found in possession of 1.250 kgs of ganja and the same has been sold to the local public for his personal gain. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 23.07.2025 and he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the seized contraband is an intermediate quantity. He further reported that the petitioner is having two previous cases under Section 304(A) of BNS, 2023 and if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every possibility of tampering the witnesses. He further reported that final report not yet filed. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner and also taking note of the fact that



Crl.OP.No.23761 of 2025

the seized contraband is an intermediate quantity and the petitioner is having two previous cases and so far final report not yet filed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

Vv

16.09.2025

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Kancheepuram
2. The Inspector of Police,
B5, Walajabad Police Station,
Kancheepuram Police District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.23761 of 2025

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.23761 of 2025

16.09.2025