



WEB COPY



W.P.No.32712 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.08.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.32712 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.36625 & 36626 of 2025

M/S.S339 Chinna Seeragapadi Primary

Agri Coop Credit Society Limited

Represented by K.Sidhan, Secretary 75/146

Chinna,Seeragapadi,Salem.

..Petitioner

Vs.

THE STATE TAX OFFICER

SALEM RURAL CIRCLE, SALEM.

...Respondent

Prayer :-

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for records from the files of the respondent in Reference No.33AAEAS7244P1Z0/2020-21 dated 25.02.2025 and to quash the same as invalid.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Narayanan

For Respondent : Mrs.K.Vasanthamala

Government Advocate (T)

Order

Heard Mr.K.Narayanan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mrs.K.Vasanthamala, learned Government Advocate (T) who



W.P.No.32712 of 2025

takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ

Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order passed by the respondent dated 25.02.2025 and to quash the same.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the notices and orders were merely uploaded in the GST Portal, and since, the petitioner's GST registration was cancelled in the year, 2018, the petitioner has not occasioned to view the portal and even the Accountant engaged by the petitioner also failed to inform the petitioner about the issuance of notice and order through the Portal. and without even affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, the respondent proceeded to pass an assessment order and only when the petitioner's bank account came to be attached, the petitioner came to know about the impugned proceedings.



W.P.No.32712 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.1 Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order is an ex parte order and suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside, as, the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order. However, it is stated that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remands the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration, and an endorsement to such effect is also made in the Writ Petition today, and thus, prays for appropriate orders.

4. The learned Government Advocate (T) for the respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Taking into consideration of the submissions made on either side and perusal of record, there is no dispute on the aspect that show cause notice and other allied communications, which culminated in the impugned order has not been served on the petitioner in person but were merely uploaded in the Portal, which the petitioner was not aware nor the does the



W.P.No.32712 of 2025

Accountant engaged by the petitioner informed the petitioner about the issuance of notices through Portal, therefore, the petitioner failed to file reply and participate in the proceedings, however, the respondent, without even affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, passed the impugned order, which in fact was unknown to the petitioner and only when the petitioner's bank account was attached, the petitioner came to the know of the impugned order. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the respondent passed the impugned order without even affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, which is nothing but an ex parte order, as the same suffers from violation of principles of natural justice.

6. Thus, once the order is passed in violation of principles of natural justice, this Court cannot impose any condition requiring the petitioner to make any deposit, however, since the petitioner, themselves, have voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, to which, the learned Government Advocate for respondent is also agreeable, this Court pass/issue the following orders/directions:-

i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 25.02.2025 is



W.P.No.32712 of 2025

set aside.

WEB COPY

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as regards the petitioner's willingness to pay 25% of the disputed tax is recorded. The petitioner is granted two weeks' time to make such payment, which shall take effect from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a 14 clear days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

and

vi) So far as the bank attachment order is concerned, upon production of proof with regard to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax made by the petitioner, respondent-Department is directed to issue appropriate direction on the petitioner's banker towards de-freeze of the petitioner's bank account forthwith and permit the petitioner to operate the bank account.

7. In the result, the Writ Petition is allowed on the aforesaid terms.



W.P.No.32712 of 2025

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

WEB COPY

26.08.2025

sd

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no

To

THE STATE TAX OFFICER
SALEM RURAL CIRCLE, SALEM.

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

6/7



WEB COPY



W.P.No.32712 of 2025

sd

W.P.No.32712 of 2025

26.08.2025