



WEB COPY



Arb. Appln. No.689 of 2024

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

Arb. Appln. No.689 of 2024

Axis Bank Ltd
Rep. by its Asst. Vice President
Pon Surendiran
South Mada Street Mylapore Chennai

Applicant


Vs

J. Gopi

Respondent

For Applicant : Ms. M.R. Uma Vijayan

As seen from the earlier proceedings, the learned counsel for the applicant has been repeatedly seeking time for the Advocate Commissioner to execute the Warrant of Commission on account of the fact that the vehicle could not be located. Even today, a similar request is sought for. However, this Court is not inclined to grant any further extension. Accordingly this application is disposed of by granting liberty to the applicant to file a fresh application seeking for appointment of an Advocate Commissioner once the vehicle is located. While considering



Arb. Appln. No.689 of 2024

the fresh application, this Court will consider appointing the very same Advocate Commissioner, who was appointed earlier by this Court in this application. This Court shall also consider adjusting the initial remuneration paid to the Advocate Commissioner in this application, while considering the payment of remuneration to the Advocate Commissioner, when this Court decides the fresh application.

05.03.2025

vsi2



WEB COPY



Arb. Appln. No.689 of 2024

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

vsi2

Arb. Appln. No.689 of 2024

05.03.2025