

Crl.R.C.No.1710 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18.09.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.RC.No.1710 of 2025

Karthi

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
West Police Station
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.163 of 2025)

.... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Petition filed under Sections 438 r/w 442 of BNSS Act, 2023, praying to set aside the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.730 of 2025 dismissed the same on 31.07.2025 passed by the learned Court of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvannamalai and further directed the respondent to return of the vehicle of bearing TN-25-BR-9784, the Bike Register Number of HONDA ACTIVA6G STD.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Vinoth

For Respondent : Dr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.R.C.No.1710 of 2025


WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition has been filed to set aside the order dated 31.07.2025 passed in Crl.M.P.No.730 of 2025 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvannamalai, further direct the respondent to return the vehicle HONDA ACTIVA6G STD bearing Registration No.TN-25-BR-9784.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner came to know that his two wheeler was utilized for transporting contraband. After the bike was seized it was informed by the respondent police. If the bike is kept in open air and sunlight, it would be valueless.

3. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal.Side) appearing for the respondent raised serious objection for allowing this petition. He further submitted that 300 grams of ganja were seized in this case. The Two-Wheeler bearing No.TN-25-BR-9784 (HONDA ACTIVA6G STD) was utilized for selling contraband and hence, the above two-wheeler was seized along with other materials from the accused persons.



Crl.R.C.No.1710 of 2025

4. Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

5. Considering facts and circumstances of the case and the submission made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is of the view that the petitioner may approach the Drug Disposal Committee for return of vehicle.

6. It is a settled proposition that if the vehicle is involved in NDPS Act, they have to approach the Drug Disposal Committee. Therefore, the Authorities take into consideration of the above facts and disburse the vehicle if any application is filed on merits.

7. With the above observations, this Criminal Revision Case is disposed of.

18.09.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
dna



WEB COPY



CrI.R.C.No.1710 of 2025

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.
dna

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvannamalai.

2.The Inspector of Police
West Police Station
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.163 of 2025)

3.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.

CrI.RC.No.1710 of 2025

18.09.2025