



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 3310 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-11-2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CONT P No. 3310 of 2025

Mr. S.Selvakumar,

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Thiru. Pavankumar G Giryappanavar, IAS.,
The District Collector,
Collector Office,
Coimbatore 641 018.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the Respondent for willfully disobeying the order of this Hon'ble Court passed in W.P.No. 12795 of 2025 dated 08.04.2025

For Petitioner(s): Mr. S.Nagarajan

For Respondent(s): Mr.V.Manoharan,

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This contempt petition has been filed complaining willful disobedience of the Order passed by this Court in W.P.No.12795 of 2025 dated 08.04.2025.

2. By the said order, this Court directed the District Collector, Coimbatore District to take up the appeal filed by the petitioner dated



19.11.2024. It was also further directed that the petitioner shall also be heard in the matter and orders be passed in accordance with law within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of receipt of the web copy of that order.

3. When the matter came up for hearing, Mr.V.Manoharan, learned Additional Government Pleader would submit that the order has since been complied with and would produce two orders dated 01.07.2025 and 16.10.2025.

4. Mr.S.Nagarajan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that even though the appeal was disposed of by the Collector directing the Tahsildhar to conduct an enquiry by giving notice to both the sides, the Tahsildhar after remand did not give any notice whatsoever and straight away passed an order on 16.10.2025.

5. In reply thereof, learned Additional Government Pleader would submit that, when the matter was taken up for hearing, it was brought to the notice of the Tahsildhar that the matter is pending before learned District Munsif Court, Pollachi in O.S.No.545 of 2025. Therefore, recording the same the entire proceedings are closed. As a matter of fact, the Collector has disposed the same only after hearing both the sides.



WEB COPY

6. I have considered the rival submissions made on the either side and perused the material records of the case.

7. As per the orders of this Court, the Collector has taken up the appeal for enquiry, heard both sides and thereafter passed an order on 01.07.2025. As such, the directions given by this Court in the above Writ petition has been complied with.

8. By the said order, the Collector has issued further directions to the Tahsildhar. The Tahsildhar has also passed an order on 16.10.2025.

9. According to the learned counsel for the contempt petitioner this order dated 16.10.2025 is not as per the directions issued by the Collector. He would submit that after the directions were issued by the Collector, the contempt petitioner was not heard.



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 3310 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

10. This Court cannot in the contempt petition enlarge the scope, as the order passed in the Writ petition has been complied with by the Collector. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the order of the Tahsildhar, it would be open for him to take such steps in the manner known to law or to take appropriate steps before the Civil Court.

11. With the said liberty kept open, this contempt petition stands closed.

No costs.

03-11-2025

Index: No
Speaking order
Neutral Citation: No

mpl

CONT P No. 3310 of 2025