



WEB COPY



W.P.No.32171 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.08.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.32171 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.36059 & 36062 of 2025

Minimol X

Sole Proprietor of Ontrack Enterprises

42-1 Carmal Nagar, East Gate 1, Podanur

Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu - 641 023.

...Petitioner

Vs

The State Tax Officer

Podanur Assessment Circle, Coimbatore.

...Respondent

Prayer

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for records leading to the issuance of assessment order bearing reference number 33AVJPM1227F1ZX/2019-2020 dated 15.07.2024 passed by the Respondent herein.

For Petitioner : M/s.B.Chandrika

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik
Additional Government Pleader (T)



W.P.No.32171 of 2025

Order

WEB COPY

Heard M/s.B.Chandrika learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader (T) who takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the assessment order dated 15.07.2024 passed by the Respondent herein.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the notices and orders were merely uploaded in the GST Portal, which were not noticed by the petitioner and even the Accountant engaged by the petitioner also failed to inform the petitioner about the issuance of notice and order through the Portal and without even affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, the respondent proceeded to pass an assessment order and only when the petitioner was threatened by the respondent regarding the recovery proceedings, the petitioner came to know about the impugned proceedings.



W.P.No.32171 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.1 Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order is an ex parte order and suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside, as, the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order. However, it is stated that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remands the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration, and an endorsement to such effect is also made in the Writ Petition today, and thus, prays for appropriate orders.

4. Learned Additional Government Pleader (T) for the respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Taking into consideration of the submissions made on either side and perusal of record, there is no dispute on the aspect that show cause notice and other allied communications, which culminated in the impugned order has not been served on the petitioner in person but were merely uploaded in the Portal, which the petitioner was not aware, however, the



W.P.No.32171 of 2025

respondent passed the impugned order, which infact was unknown to the petitioner and only when the petitioner was threatened about the recovery proceedings, the petitioner came to the know of the impugned order. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the respondent passed the impugned order without even affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, which is nothing but an ex parte order, as the same suffers from violation of principles of natural justice.

6. Thus, once the order is passed in violation of principles of natural justice, this Court cannot impose any condition requiring the petitioner to make any deposit, however, since the petitioner, themselves, have voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, to which, the learned Additional Government Pleader for respondent is also agreeable, this Court pass/issue the following orders/directions:-

- i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 15.07.2024 is set aside.
- ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.



W.P.No.32171 of 2025

iii) The endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as regards the petitioner's willingness to pay 25% of the disputed tax is recorded. The petitioner is granted two weeks' time to make such payment, which shall take effect from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a 14 clear days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

7. In the result, the Writ Petition is allowed on the aforesaid terms.

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

22.08.2025

sd

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no

To

The State Tax Officer
Podanur Assessment Circle, Coimbatore

5/6



WEB COPY



W.P.No.32171 of 2025

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

sd

W.P.No.32171 of 2025

22.08.2025