



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.23229 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.08.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE G. JAYACHANDRAN

CrI.O.P.No.23229 of 2025

Suriya

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.269 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.269 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. E. Sathiyaraj Elangovan

For Respondent : Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)



CrI.O.P.No.23229 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections **303(2), 326(a) of BNS Act and Section 21(5) of the Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.269 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.07.2025 at about 03:30PM, while the respondent police were conducting routine checkup at Paramananthal Village, they found that the petitioner was illegally transporting $\frac{1}{4}$ unit of sand in a bullock cart. On seeing the respondent police, the petitioner left the bullock cart and fled away from the spot. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.23229 of 2025


WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioner has no previous antecedents. He further submitted that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and the fact that the petitioner has no previous antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, **on or before 02.09.2025** before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of



Crl.O.P.No.23229 of 2025

the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) to the credit of Crime No.269 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai on or before 02.09.2025;

[b] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate on or before 02.09.2025, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[c] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[d] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., until further orders;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.23229 of 2025

pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.08.2025

stn

To

1. The Learned Judicial Magistrate,
Chengam, Tiruvannamalai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.269 of 2025).
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23229 of 2025

Dr. G. JAYACHANDRAN, J.,

stn

Crl.O.P.No.23229 of 2025

21.08.2025