



WP No. 32435 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

**WP No. 32435 of 2025
AND WMP NO. 36353 OF 2025**

S.Vanitha,
W/o. Late J.Sethulingam,
No. 62/135, Theradi Street,
Madurantagam, Chengalpet 603 306.

2.Minor S.Thamanesh,
S/o. Late J.Sethulingam,
No. 62/135, Theradi Street,
Madurantagam, Chengalpet 603 306.

3.Minor S.Gowtham
S/o. Late J.Sethulingam,
No. 62/135, Theradi Street,
Madurantagam, Chengalpet 603 306.

Minor Petitioners Represented By
Their Mother And Natural Guardian
Mrs.S.Vanitha,

Petitioner(s)

Vs



WP No. 32435 of 2025

1.The Sub Registrar,
Madurantagam Sub Registrar's Office,
Madurantagam, Chengalpet 603 306.

2.J Pushparani,
W/o. K.Jayaraman,
No.39, Dharmaraja Koil Street,
Madurantagam, Chengalpet 603 306.

3.J.Thilagaraj,
No.1, Ground Floor, Karukkal Street,
Kadaperi, Madurantagam, Chengalpet.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for records relating to the impugned order passed in Na.Ka.No.247/2025 dated 11.08.2025 and quash the same as illegal and consequently forbear the 1st respondent from registering and releasing the document registered as pending document in P-127/2025 on its file.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.A.Mariappan

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Harish
Government Advocate for R1.
M/s.G.Magesh Kumar
for R2 & R3



WEB COPY



WP No. 32435 of 2025

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the impugned order dated 11.08.2025, in Na.Ka.No.247/2025 passed by the 1st respondent.

2.Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner made a objection to register the sale deed whichever presented by the 2nd respondent in favour of the 3rd respondent since the 2nd respondent has only life interest over the subject property. Despite submitting the objection letter, the 1st respondent registered the sale deed presented by the 2nd respondent and issued notice to the petitioner to appear before him for enquiry on 31.07.2025. After conducting enquiry, the 2nd respondent concluded that the 2nd respondent has 1/4th share in the subject property and passed the impugned order dated 11.08.2025. Aggrieved over the same, the present writ petition has been filed.



WP No. 32435 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.Learned Government Advocate appearing for the 1st respondent and learned counsel appearing for the respondents 2 & 3, would submit that, in the present case, since the property was already got registered, now the recourse available to the petitioner is to approach the Civil Court.

4.The issue in the present case is pertaining to the commencement/given effect date of the Will dated 22.06.1983 and according to the petitioner, the sale deed was executed by the 2nd respondent when the testator has given only life interest to the 2nd respondent to enjoy the subject property.

5.Considering the submissions made by either parties, this Court is of the view that all the issues raised by the petitioner can be agitated by the Civil Court by adducing the evidence. When such being the case, this Court cannot entertain the present writ petition.



WP No. 32435 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. In the result, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

31-10-2025

(½)

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

The Sub Registrar,
Madurantagam Sub Registrar Office,
Madurantagam, Chengalpet 603 306.



WEB COPY



WP No. 32435 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

rst

WP No. 32435 of 2025
AND WMP NO. 36353 of 2025

31-10-2025

($\frac{1}{2}$)