



WEB COPY



W.P.No.29498 of 2024 etc., batch. cases

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

RESERVED ON : 09.07.2025

PRONOUNCED ON: 23.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C. KUMARAPPAN

W.P.Nos.29498, 31239 & 27937 of 2024 and 2179, 4362, 7088, 7383, 11281,
12353 & 12653 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.32152, 32153, 33871, 33874, 2534, 2535, 30481, 30483, 4872,
4875, 7837, 7838, 8249, 16194, 12722, 12723, 13949, 13951, 14244
& 14245 of 2025

W.P.No.29498 of 2024:

L.Krishnamoorthy

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore-641 043.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
45, Chennai Malai Road,
Erode – 638 001.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Andhiyur Daluk,
Erode – 638 501.

... Respondents



PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 06.09.2024 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.Ku.No.520/Pi2/Papi/TNSTC/Co/Eima/24, transferring order No.17383 dated Nil issued by the 3rd respondent, relieving the petitioner from Andhiyur branch, w.e.f. 06.09.2024, quash the same and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner and to allow him to continue the petitioner services as a driver in Andhiyur branch.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Vijay Priyan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Murali Vinodh
Standing Counsel

W.P.No.31239 of 2024:

R.Karuppasamy

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore-641 043.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Kangeyam Salai,
Tiruppur.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Ukkadam-2 Branch,
Coimbatore.

4.Thiru R.Rajendran

5.Thiru K.Periyasamy

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,



praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 09.10.2024 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.1495/E3A/Pa.Thu.1/TNSTC(Co)/2024, transferring the petitioner from Ukkadam-2 Branch to Pollachi-2 Branch and the Relieving Order No.30385 dated nil issued by the 3rd respondent, relieving him from Ukkadam-2 branch w.e.f. 10.10.2024, quash the same and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 3 to retain him and to allow him to continue his services as a driver in Ukkadam-2 branch and to treat the period from 02.09.2024 to 10.10.2024 as duty with pay for all purposes.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.C.Gowthamaraj,
Standing Counsel for TNSTC

W.P.No.2179 of 2025:

A.Mohammed Kaja Moideen

... Petitioner


..Vs..

1.The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
No.37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore-43.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Kangayam Salai,
Tiruppur- 641 604.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Palani I Branch,
Palani-624601.

4.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,



Tiruppur Region, Kangeyam Branch,
Palani-624601.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 08.01.2025 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.735/E3B/PaThu1/TNSTC(Ko)/2025, transferring the petitioner from Palani Branch I to Kangeyam Branch and the Relieving Order dated 10.01.2025, passed by the 3rd respondent in Proceeding Nos.34941 and 34942, relieving the petitioner from Palani Branch I w.e.f. 10.01.2025 and directing the petitioner to join in the transferred Branch at Kangeyam on 12.01.2025 morning quash the same and for a direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner at Palani Branch-I, with all service, monetary and other consequential benefits w.e.f. 10.01.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.C.Gowthamaraj,
Standing Counsel for TNSTC

W.P.No.27937 of 2024:

A.Mohammed Kaja Moideen

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
No.37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore-43.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Kangeyam Salai,
Tiruppur- 641 604.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Palani I Branch,



Palani-624601.

4.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Palani I Branch,
Palani-624601.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 05.09.2024 passed by the 1st respondent in Proceeding No.11984/MaVaMe/TNSTC(Ko)/2024, transferring the petitioner from Palani Depot I in Tiruppur Region to Kothagiri depot in Nilgiris Region and District and the Relieving Order dated 06.09.2024, passed by the 3rd respondent in Proceeding No.33994, relieving the petitioner from Palani Depot w.e.f. 06.09.2024 and directing the petitioner to join in the transferred Depot at Kothagiri on 08.09.2024 morning and for a direction to retain the petitioner at Palani Depot I, with all service, monetary and other consequential benefits w.e.f. 07.09.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.T.Chandrasekaran,
Standing Counsel for TNSTC for RR1 to 3

W.P.No.4362 of 2025:

R.Dhamodharan

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore 641 043.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region,
Tiruppur Depot – I,



W.P No.29498 of 2024 etc., batch. cases

Kangeyam Salai, Tiruppur 641 604.

3.The Branch Manager,
Udumalpettai Branch,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region,
Udumalaipettai, Tiruppur District.

4.The Branch Manager,
Kangeyam Branch,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Kangeyam,
Tiruppur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 26.12.2024 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.1632/E3A/Pa Thu1/Tha.Aa.Po.Ka (Co)/2024, transferring the petitioner from Udumalaipettai Branch to Kangeyam Branch and the Relieving Order No.8778 dated 02.01.2025, passed by the 3rd respondent relieving the petitioner from Udumalaipettai Brach quash the same and consequently direct the Respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner and to allow him to continue his services as a driver in Udumalaipettai Branch.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.C.Gowthamaraj,
Standing Counsel for TNSTC

W.P.No.7088 of 2025:


A.Karuppusamy

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore 641 043.

6/22



WEB COPY

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region,
Kangeyam Salai, Tirupur.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Udumalpet Branch,
Tirupur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order of transfer dated 13.02.2025 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.815/E3AA/PA THU1/TNSTC(CO)/2025, transferring the petitioner from Udumalpet Branch to Kangeyam Branch and the Relieving Order No.8770 dated 18.02.2025, issued by the 3rd respondent relieving the petitioner from Udumalpet brach, w.e.f. 19.02.2025, quash the same and consequently direct the Respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner and to allow him to continue his services as a driver in Udumalpet branch.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.C.Gowthamaraj,
Standing Counsel for TNSTC


W.P.No.7383 of 2025:

P.Anbalagan

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Salem) Ltd.,
Managing Director,
No.12, Ramakrishna Road,
Salem-7.



2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Salem) Ltd.,
Dharmapuri Region,
Bharathipuram,
Dharmapuri-5.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Salem) Ltd.,
Uthangarai Branch,
Krishnagiri District – 635 207.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the order of transfer dated 18.02.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.PaNi4/8088/TNSTC/DPURI/2025, transferring the petitioner from Uthangarai Depot to Dharmapuri Moffussil depot and the Relieving Order dated 21.02.2025, issued by the 3rd respondent in Proceeding No.E1/Ki Ma/Uthangarai Branch/TNSTC (SALEM)/2025, quash the same, and consequently, direct the respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner and to allow him to continue the petitioner services as a Driver in Uthangarai Depot.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.K.Raja,
Standing Counsel for TNSTC

W.P.No.11281 of 2025:


P.Lingam

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore 641 043.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,



W.P.No.29498 of 2024 etc., batch. cases

WEB COPY

Tirupur Region,
Kangeyam Salai,
Tirupur.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tirupur Branch-2,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region, Tirupur District ... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned transfer order dated 14.03.2025 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.199/B2P/PAPI1/TNSTC(CO)/THI MA/2025, transferring the petitioner from Tirupur-2 Branch to Palani - 1 Branch and the Relieving Order No.Nil issued by the 3rd respondent, relieving the petitioner from Tirupur-2 Branch w.e.f 16.03.2025 and quash the same and consequently, direct the respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner and to allow him to continue his services at Tirupur-2 Branch with pay and all other consequential benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.M.Murali Vinodh
Standing Counsel for TNSTC

W.P.No.12353 of 2025:

N.Rajkumar

... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore 641 043.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
37, Mettupalayam Road,

9/22



W.P.No.29498 of 2024 etc., batch. cases

Coimbatore 641 043.

3.The Branch Manager,
Valparai Branch,

Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Valparai – 642 127.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order dated 20.03.2025 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.913/E3P/PA THU 1/TNSTC(CO)/2025, transferring the petitioner from Valparai Branch to Ondiputhoor 1 Branch and the Relieving Order No.15196 issued by the 3rd respondent, relieving the petitioner from Valparai Branch w.e.f 21.03.2025 and quash the same and consequently, direct the respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner and to allow him to continue his services at Valparai Branch with pay and all other consequential benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.C.Gowthamaraj,
Standing Counsel for TNSTC

W.P.No.12653 of 2025:

P.Anandaraj


... Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Rep by its Managing Director,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore 641 043.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region,

10/22



Kangeyam Salai,
Tirupur.

WEB COPY

3. The Branch Manager,
Udumalai Branch,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Udumalai – 642 126. ... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order dated 06.03.2025 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proceeding No.875/E3P/PA THU 1/TNSTC (CO)/2025, transferring the petitioner from Udumalai Branch to Tirupur 1 Branch and the relieving order No.12547 issued by the 3rd respondent, relieving the petitioner from Udumalai Branch w.e.f 21.03.2025 and quash the same and consequently, direct the respondents 1 to 3 to retain the petitioner and to allow him to continue his services at Udumalai Branch with pay and all other consequential benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.C.Gowthamaraj,
Standing Counsel

COMMON ORDER

All these writ petitions have been filed against the impugned transfer orders. In all the cases, apart from the contention that the transfer orders are punitive, arbitrary and unjust, it has been consistently contended that the Standing Orders of the respondent do not contain any clause for transfer.




Therefore, the transfer orders are *ipso facto* void.

WEB COPY

2. For easy understanding, this Court deems it tabulate the date of transfer and their nature of job, place of transfer and reason for transfer as mentioned herein below:

S. No.	Case No.	Date of Transfer	Posting	Place of Transfer	Reason for Transfer
1	WP/29498/2024	06/09/2024	Bus Driver TNSTC	Andhiyur depot to Karur depot	Forged and false complaint made by the lade passenger. The petitioner is suffering from jaundice and taking treatment so advised to take home made food.
2	WP/31239/2024	09/10/2025	Bus Driver TNSTC	Ukkadam-2 branch to Pollachi-2 branch	The transfer was made with mala fide intent to block the petitioner's union selection prospects.
3	WP/2179/2025	05/09/2024	Bus Conductor TNSTC	Palani Depot (Tiruppur region) to Kothagiri Depot (Nilgiris depot)	Acted with malice to victimize him for exposing the branch manager's misconduct and inaction.
4	WP/4362/2025	26/12/2024	Bus Driver TNSTC	Udumalaipettai Branch to Kangeyam Branch	Based on the reports sent by the branch manager to the 2 nd respondent complaining that petitioner had been



					refusing to accept the buses allotted to him.
5	WP/7088/2025	13/02/2025	Bus Driver TNSTC	Udumalpet branch to Kangeyam Branch	Illegal victimization for testifying in a co workers case, constituting unfair labour practice.
6	WP/7383/2025	18/02/2025	Bus Driver TNSTC	Uthangarai depot to Dharmapuri Moffasal depot	Willful, intentional and deliberate disobedience, contempt and influence by union pressure, in violation of standing order. This transfer would affect the children's education.
7	WP/11281/2025	14/03/2025	Bus Driver TNSTC	Tiruppur-2 branch to Palani-1 branch	Measure of punishment based on charge memo, Mala fide intention and oblique motive.
8	WP/12353/2025	20/03/2025	Bus Conductor TNSTC	Valparai branch to Ondiputhoor-1 branch	Measure of punishment since petitioner's brother has given a complaint against the third respondent.
9	WP/12653/2025	06/03/25	Bus Conductor TNSTC	Udulalai branch to Tiruppur-1 branch	Based on the charge memo he was transferred. This transfer would allow him to travel for a long time from his native.

3. From the above narration, it is obvious that some transfers were



WEB COPY

effected on the basis of complaints. But according to the petitioners, transfer orders were issued with *mala fide* intent to victimize the petitioners and as a punishment.

4. However, the said contention was stoutly objected by the learned Standing Counsels appearing on behalf of the respondents, and would contend that the petitioners are liable for transfer in accordance with their appointment conditions and that, all the transfer orders are administrative in nature, and there is no *mala fide* or *bias* against these petitioners. Though in some cases, the petitioners were transferred on the basis of the complaint of the passengers that cannot be faulted as it is administratively required to keep up the concerned person away for certain period as the same would ease the tense situation. Hence, the learned counsel would contend that those transfer orders could not be interfered with under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and also perused the materials available on record.

6. Before delve into the individual rights, this Court would like to refer



WEB COPY

the legal position in respect of the administrative transfer. It is well settled principle of law that the transfer is the incidence of service. Such administrative transfer can be challenged, only if the transfer order has been issued by an incompetent authority, or issued with *mala fide* intent. In the case on hand, the petitioners challenge the competency of the authority in issuing the transfer order, on the premise that the Standing Order of the respondent Corporation do not give power to the authority to transfer the employee. Let us discuss the defence of competency after considering the defence of *mala fide*.

7. Coming to the *mala fide* as extracted in the tabular column, in some of the cases, the *mala fide* had been attributed against the authorities. But admittedly, the concerned authority has not been impleaded in his individual capacity. Therefore, the question of *mala fide* though pleaded, there is no specific allegation against the individual officials and it is only a vague one.


8. It is also relevant to mention that the place or post cannot be chosen by the employee. The employer, who manages the organization is an appropriate person to post the employees as per the requirement to advance the effective administration. It is further well settled principles of law that the



WEB COPY

Court, while administering the power of judicial review should not attempt to micro manage the action of the authorities concerned. The interference in the administrative transfers is beyond the *realm* of the power of judicial review. But if the petitioners have got any grievance, against the transfer, it is for them to give a representation to the concerned authorities and the concerned authorities are the best person to take a call on their individual grievance and requirements.

9. Coming back to the defence of incompetency of the authority to issue transfer order, it is the primordial contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners, that the Standing Orders of the respondent Corporation does not contain any clause for transfer. In this regard, the learned counsel relied upon the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***The U.P. State Electricity Board and Another. vs. Hari Shankar Jain and Others*** reported in (1978) 4 **SCC 16**. Through which, the learned counsel would submit that the Standing Orders is an essential document to define the terms of the employment of workmen in industrial establishment. When such a document does not give authority to transfer, the impugned transfer order becomes *ipso facto void*.



WEB COPY

10. In order to assess the above issue, it requires to go in to definition of “Standing Orders” as defined under the *The Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946*. As per the above Act, “Standing Orders” mean rules relating to matters set out in the schedule. By referring to the above provisions, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Rohtak Hissar District Electricity Supply Co. Ltd., vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Others* reported in *AIR 1966 SC 1471* has held that when the schedule does not contain any clause for transfer, it is not advisable, to include transfer in the standing order. It is in this back ground, in the Standing Order Appeal 4/1995, (where the respondent's standing order was approved with some modification) the Principal Labour Court, Chennai has deleted the clause “transfer”. It is relevant to refer that, the mere non-inclusion of transfer clause in the Standing Order does not mean that the authorities have no power to transfer the employee.

11. As a matter of fact, the Standing Order does not prohibit the transfer of workmen from one establishment to another establishment of the Corporation. This Court is of the view that, the respondents are competent to transfer the employees as per the appointment conditions. In this regard, it is useful to refer the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Divgi Metal Wares Ltd., vs. Divgi Metal Wares Employees Association and*



Another reported in **2024 SCC Online SC 366**. Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the objections of “incompetency” raised by the petitioners'.

WEB COPY

12. Hence, this Court is of the firm view that there are no grounds to interfere with the Transfer Orders, and these writ petitions are liable to be dismissed. However, if the petitioners are having any personal inconvenience or medical ground or any other valid ground, they are at liberty to give a representation to the respondents within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. If any representations are received, the respondents are directed to consider the same and pass orders according to its own merits and in accordance with law within a period of four (4) weeks, thereafter.

13. In the result, these writ petitions are dismissed with above directions. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also stand closed.

23.07.2025


ssi

Index : Yes

Speaking Order : Yes /No

Neutral Citation Case: Yes/No


18/22



To:

WEB COPY

- 1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
37, Mettupalayam Road, Coimbatore-641 043.
- 2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
45, Chennai Malai Road, Erode – 638 001.
- 3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Andhiyur Daluk, Erode – 638 501.
- 4.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Kangeyam Salai, Tiruppur.
- 5.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Ukkadam-2 Branch, Coimbatore.
- 6.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Palani I Branch, Palani-624601.
- 7.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Kangeyam Branch, Palani-624601.
- 8.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Tiruppur Depot – I, Kangeyam Salai, Tiruppur 641 604.
- 9.The Branch Manager, Udumalpettai Branch,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Udumalaipettai, Tiruppur District.
- 10.The Branch Manager, Kangeyam Branch,



Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tiruppur Region, Kangeyam, Tiruppur District.

WEB COPY

11. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region, Kangeyam Salai, Tirupur.

12. The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Udumalpet Branch, Tirupur District.

13. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region, Kangeyam Salai, Tirupur.

14. The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Udumalpet Branch, Tirupur District.

15. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Salem) Ltd.,
No.12, Ramakrishna Road, Salem-7.


16. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Salem) Ltd.,
Dharmapuri Region, Bharathipuram, Dharmapuri-5.

17. The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Salem) Ltd.,
Uthangarai Branch, Krishnagiri District – 635 207.

18. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region, Kangeyam Salai, Tirupur.

19. The Branch Manager, Tirupur Branch-2,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region, Tirupur District.

20. The General Manager,



W.P No.29498 of 2024 etc., batch. cases

Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
37, Mettupalayam Road, Coimbatore 641 043.

21. The Branch Manager,
Valparai Branch,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Valparai – 642 127.

22. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Tirupur Region, Kangeyam Salai, Tirupur.

23. The Branch Manager, Udumalai Branch,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Coimbatore) Ltd.,
Udumalai – 642 126.

C. KUMARAPPAN, J.

ssi

Pre-delivery Order made in



WEB COPY



W.P.No.29498 of 2024 etc., batch. cases

W.P.No. 29498 of 2024 etc., batch cases

23.07.2025