



W.P.(CrI.)No.636 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

Dated: 21.08.2025

Coram:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

W.P.(CrI.)No.636 of 2025

R.Vijaya Samundeeswari

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Superintendent of Police
O/o The District Superintendent of Police,
Villupuram District - 605 602

2. The Inspector of Police,
C-6, Mylam Police Station,
Tindivanam Taluk,
Villupuram District – 604 302

3. E.Sasikumar

...Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 to dispose the representation of the petitioner dated 19.07.2025 and initiate suitable proceedings against the 3rd respondent in accordance with law.

For Petitioner	: Mr.D.Bharathy
For Respondents	: Mr.R.Vinothraja for R1 and R2 Government Advocate (CrI.Side)



W.P.(CrI.)No.636 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY The present Writ Petition has been filed for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 to dispose the representation of the petitioner dated 19.07.2025 and initiate suitable proceedings against the 3rd respondent in accordance with law.

2. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is having a property situated at No.61/1B, Shridi Saibaba Temple, NH45 Trichy National Highways, Pallapattu, Tindivanam Taluk, Villupuram District and Patta No.245 was also assigned to the said property, while so, some 3rd parties are creating problems over the said property and a proceedings have been initiated and the DRO has passed an order on the appeal. In spite of the said order, the 3rd respondent with political influence and rowdy elements have made sale of agreement over the property, therefore, several representations have been given to the respondents, since no has been taken, the petitioner has come up with the present petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side), appearing for the respondents, on instructions, would submit that based on the representation of the petitioner, investigation has been completed and charge sheet has been filed before



W.P.(CrI.)No.636 of 2025

the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tindivanam.

WEB COPY

4. Considering the submission of the learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) that based on the representation of the petitioner, investigation has been completed and charge sheet has been filed before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tindivanam, the present petition is closed.

21.08.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Speaking Order / Non Speaking Order
ssd

To

1. The District Superintendent of Police
O/o The District Superintendent of Police,
Villupuram District - 605 602
2. The Inspector of Police,
C-6, Mylam Police Station,
Tindivanam Taluk,
Villupuram District – 604 302
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High court, Madras

N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.



WEB COPY



W.P.(Crl.)No.636 of 2025

ssd

W.P.(Crl.)No.636 of 2025

21.08.2025