



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.08.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.32088 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.35973 & 35974 of 2025

Tvl Jaya Hardwares
Represented by its Proprietor Jayakumar
Jayabharathi No. 124-25,R.C.D.Industrial Layout,
Jagir Ammapalayam, Salem- 636 302.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Deputy State Tax Officer- II,
Suramangalam Circle,
Salem.

...Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records of the Impugned Order of the Respondent in GSTIN: 33BAZPJ7935F1Z7/ 2020-21 along with Order Under Section 73 and Summary of the Order in the Reference No: ZD330225163361S, all dated 17.02.2025, quash the same and consequently direct the Respondent to entertain the records, documents and reply from the Petitioner and then pass



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

an order after affording a personal hearing to the Petitioner.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Hariharan

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik
Additional Government Pleader (Taxes)

ORDER

Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order dated 17.02.2025 passed by the respondent for the AY 2020-21 and to quash the same and consequently direct the Respondent to entertain the records, documents and reply from the Petitioner and then pass an order after affording a personal hearing to the Petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent has issued a show cause notice on 26.11.2024 followed by three reminder notices dated 13.01.2025, 28.01.2025 and 05.02.2025 to the petitioner by uploading the same in the GST portal without serving physical



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

WEB COPY

copy of the same to the petitioner. Since, the petitioner's accountant was on leave at the relevant point of time, the petitioner is unable to check the GST portal. Therefore, the petitioner was not aware of those notices and failed to file reply to those notices. Since the petitioner failed to file reply to the show cause notice, the respondent has confirmed the proposals contained in the show cause notice and passed the present impugned order. Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside, as the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order.

3.1. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remand the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration. He has also made an endorsement to that effect.

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to




W.P.No.32088 of 2025

deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them.

6. No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.

7. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice. Hence, this Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned order with terms, by issuing the following directions:-

- i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 17.02.2025 is



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

set aside.

WEB COPY

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves had voluntarily come forward to make such payment, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

8. With the above observations & directions, this Writ Petition is


disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

25.08.2025

arr

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

The Deputy State Tax Officer- II,
Suramangalam Circle,
Salem.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.32088 of 2025

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

arr

W.P.No.32088 of 2025

25.08.2025