



WP Nos.35639 and 35641 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.09.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA,

CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

WP Nos.35639 and 35641 of 2025

S.S.R.Lakshmi Sitara

.. Petitioner in both WPs.

-VS-

1. Principal Secretary to Government,
Housing & Urban Development Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600 009.
2. Director of Town and Country Planning,
CMDA Office Complex, E & C Market Road,
Virugambakkam, Koyambedu,
Chennai 600 107.
3. R.R.P. Housing Private Limited,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Mr.N.Padmanaban.

.. Respondents
in WP.35639/2025

1. The Chennai Metropolitan Development
Authority, Rep. by its Member Secretary,
Thalamuthu-Natarajan Maaligai,
No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road, Egmore,
Chennai 600 008.



WP Nos.35639 and 35641 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. Principal Secretary to Government,
Housing & Urban Development Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600 009.

3. R.R.P. Housing Private Limited,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Mr.N.Padmanaban.

.. Respondents in
Wp.35641/2025

Prayer: Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent (in both WPs.) to dispose the petitioner's representation dated 11.07.2025 pending on the file for the first respondent in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jai Kumar
For Respondents : Mr.K.Karthik Jagannath
Govt. Advocate for RR 1 and 2
: Mr.D.S.Rajasekaran
for R-3

* * * * *

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made
by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

The entire basis of petitioner's case rests on her claim over the land, which is subject matter of sale and purchase by third respondent/builder, under a housing development scheme.



WP Nos.35639 and 35641 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. On petitioner's own showing, she has already filed a suit against the third respondent seeking a declaration of her own title in respect of the land in dispute.

3. The petitioner cannot be permitted to file a writ petition only to seek injunction against the third respondent. If the petitioner seeks injunction against the third respondent, her remedy lies in moving such application in the pending suit rather than coming to the writ Court.

Both the petitions are misconceived and accordingly, dismissed, with liberty to pursue the remedy before the Civil Court. There shall be no order as to costs.

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA, CJ.) (G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.)
22.09.2025

Index : Yes/No

Neutral Citation : Yes/No



WP Nos.35639 and 35641 of 2025

sra
WEB COPY



WEB COPY



WP Nos.35639 and 35641 of 2025

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.

(sra)

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Housing & Urban Development Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600 009.
2. Director of Town and Country Planning,
CMDA Office Complex, E & C Market Road,
Virugambakkam, Koyambedu,
Chennai 600 107.
3. The Chennai Member Secretary,
The Metropolitan Development
Authority, Thalamuthu-Natarajan Maaligai,
No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road, Egmore,
Chennai 600 008.

WP Nos.35639 and 35641 of 2025

22.09.2025