



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 22450 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-08-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE G. JAYACHANDRAN

CRL OP No. 22450 of 2025

Muniyappan
S/o0. Murugaiyyan, No.5/18A,
Kollitheevu, Vedaranyam,
Nagapattinam District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Vedaranyam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District
. Cr. No.296/2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in Cr. No. 296 of 2025 on the file of the respondent herein and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Magesh Kumar G

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate(Crl.side)



WEB COPY

ORDER

The Petition for anticipatory bail is filed for the offences under Sections 296(b), 127(3), 115(2), 140(3), 309(4), 351(2) of BNS Act in Crime No. 296 of 2025.

2. The Complainant, Dhatchinamoorthy, a teacher by profession, lodged a complaint to the Respondent Police on 01.08.2025. He stating that on 14-07-2025, at about 12.15 pm, when he was about to take his car, four persons restrained him and forcibly abducted him in the car upto Vedaranyam Bharadhidasan Arts and Science College. They attacked him and demanded Rs.5 lakhs, threatening to kill him, if he failed to comply. They further snatched 10 sovereigns of gold jewels and also took away a sum of Rs. 35,000/- cash(Rs.15,000/- from his pocket and Rs.20,000/- from his car dashboard). On enquiry with his friends, the complainant came to know the identity of the persons and hence, the complaint against four named persons.



WEB COPY

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent police states that three of the accused have already been arrested and a sum of Rs. 8,500/- has been recovered. However, the balance amount and the gold chain yet to be recovered. He further states that this petitioner is absconding. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is no explanation for the belated complaint. While the complaint initially mentioned four unknown persons, the FIR contains the details of all the four accused persons. Hence, there arises a serious doubt from the complaint itself and also submits that the petitioners are ready to deposit any amount as condition.

5. This Court, after perusing the records, finds that it is an act for abduction for ransom and money has been extorted using force. Imposing condition of depositing money is not the right procedure for investigating a crime. This petitioner is absconding while three been arrested and part of the amount recovered. For recovery of the balance amount and to probe the matter



in a proper manner, the petitioner is to be apprehended and subjected to interrogation. Hence, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

WEB COPY

12-08-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nr

To

The Inspector of Police,
Vedaranyam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 22450 of 2



Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN J.

nr

CRL OP No. 22450 of 2025

12-08-2025