



C.R.P.No.6184 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.No.6184 of 2025
and
C.M.P.No.30470 of 2025

R.Dinesh
S/o E.Ramadoss
Rep by Power Agent E.Ramadoss aged 72 years
Plot No.1, Door No.10,
First Street, Balaji Nagar,
Anakaputhur, Chennai – 600070.

... Petitioner

vs.

S.Vyshali

... Respondent

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to direct to consider the above-stated facts and set aside the docket order dated 24.07.2025, permitting the letting in of evidence through video conferencing, passed in O.P.No.1051 of 2019 by the V Additional Family Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.M.Kanishkaran
for M/s.M.V.Seshamma



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.6184 of 2025

ORDER

The Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the Docket Order passed by the V Additional Family Court, Chennai, dated 24.07.2025, which is reads as follows:-

“Petitioner absent. Call along with op 1239/20 call on 5.8.25. Memo filed enclosing the legal opinion of US Immigration Attorney.”

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that on the date of hearing the petitioner was present before the Court through Video Conference. However, it was wrongly noted in the order as if, the petitioner was not present.

3. The recordings made by the Judicial Officer is presumed to be correct. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the recordings of the Court, then the proper remedy for him is to file appropriate application before the concerned Court seeking to recall the order. The petitioner is not entitled to challenge



C.R.P.No.6184 of 2025

the order before the Higher Forum, in view of the law settled by the Apex Court in *State of Maharashtra Vs. Ramdas Srinivas Nayak* reported in *1982 (2) SCC 463* and the Division Bench of this Court in *The Director, Sri Mookambika Institute of Medical Science Vs. Balasundar Raj* reported in MANU/TN/0120/2013. Hence, I am not inclined to entertain this civil revision petition.

4. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected civil miscellaneous petition is closed.

05.12.2025

Index : Yes / No
Speaking order : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
dm

To

The V Additional Family Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.6184 of 2025

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

dm

C.R.P.No.6184 of 2025

05.12.2025