



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-08-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP CrI. No. 553 of 2025

1. MEENA

W/o.Balu, No.Kulaththumettu Street,
Kallakurichi Taluk, Kallakurichi
District.

petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Kallakurichi.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Kallakurichi.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Kallakurichi Police Station,
Kallakurichi.

4.Bhaktavachalam
S/o.Pandurangan, Kottakullam,
Thiyagadurgam, Kallakurichi Taluk,
Kallakurichi District.

.... Respondents



PRAYER

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus or any other writ order or direction of a like nature to directing the respondents 1 to 3 herein to give police protection pursuant to the petitioner representation dated 17/07/2025.

For Appellant(s): Mr. K.Thenrajan

For Respondent: Mr. R.Vinoth Raja,
Govt. Advocate (crl.side)
for R1 to R3

ORDER

This Writ Petition is filed praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus or any other writ order or direction of a like nature to directing the respondents 1 to 3 herein to give police protection pursuant to the petitioner representation dated 17/07/2025.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that she is residing in the suit property and when she attempts to renovate the property, the 4th respondent objected for the same. Hence, she made a representation seeking police protection to respondents 1 to 3, however, the same is kept pending without any



further action. Hence, she has filed the above writ petition.

WEB COPY

3. Learned Govt. Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that there is a dispute between the family members of the petitioner with regard to the undivided share of the property and when the petitioner sought to alter the premises, the 4th respondent objected for the same. Hence, police protection cannot be granted, as the issue appears to be civil in nature.

4. Considering the above submission, the dispute is among the family members of the petitioner with regard to sharing of undivided property and the same appears to be civil in nature, police protection cannot be granted. It is for the petitioner to work out her remedy in the manner known to law.

5. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

msr

11-08-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Kallakurichi.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Kallakurichi.



WEB COPY

WP Crl. No. 553 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

msr

WP Crl. No. 553 of 2025

11-08-2025