



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 2898 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

CONT P No. 2898 of 2025

1. S.Senthilkumar

S/o. R.K. Sargunesan No. 559, Gandhi
Nagar Devanampattu Village and Post
Tiruvannamalai Taluk and District 606
802

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. P.Shankar IAS

Secretary to Government, Higher
Education Department, Government of
Tamil Nadu, Secretariat, Chennai 600
009.

2.S.Jayandhi Ias

Chairperson Teacher Reuitment Board
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maaligai, DPI
Campus, College Road, Chennai 600
006.

3.Jeyappriya

Registrar and Member Secretary
Mother Terasa Womens University
NODEL SET Agency for Tamil Nadu



Kodaikanal- 624 101

Contemnor(s)

WEB COPY

PRAYER: Contempt Petition is filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, to issue notice to the respondent no.3 and punish the respondent no.3 for having committed contempt of the order dated 01.04.2025 passed by this Hon'ble court in WP.No. 26978 of 2019

For Petitioner(s): S.Prasanna,

For Contemnor(s): Mr.A.Selvendran
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This Contempt Petition has been filed to punish the third respondent for having committed contempt of the order dated 01.04.2025 passed by this Hon'ble court in WP.No. 26978 of 2019

2. In pursuant to the order of this Court dated 28.10.2025, a status report has been filed by the first respondent stating that orders extending the reservation for PSTM and Women has been issued in G.O.(Ms) No.163, Higher Education (H1) Department dated 18.07.2025 and hence the reservation of PSTM would not be applicable to TNSET examinations, 2018 conducted by the Mother Teresa Women's University.



3. The repeated submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the University has assured in a notification that the Government's Reservation Policy will be followed. But the Government has stated that as per the Reservation Policy, during the relevant year when the TNSET Examinations were conducted, the PSTM reservation was not in vogue. Hence, the petitioner cannot expect any order to be passed by the third respondent by accommodating him under PSTM category. If the petitioner is still aggrieved that PSTM reservation was in existence even when the notification for TNSET examination, 2018 has been issued by the third respondent, then he is at liberty to challenge the order dated 17.10.2025 in the manner known to law.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the third respondent has not filed any clarification note about the reservation under PSTM category. Even according to the petitioner, the third respondent is only a nodal agency who can at the best conduct examinations only based upon the policy of the Government specifically with regard to the reservation policy of the Government. Any statement issued by the Government in this regard may be treated as a response attributable to the third respondent as well. In view of the same, nothing survives further in this Contempt Petition.



5. Thus, the Contempt Petition is closed. No costs.

13-11-2025

WEB COPY

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

bkn

To

1.P.Shankar IAS

Secretary to Government, Higher
Education Department, Government of
Tamil Nadu, Secretariat, Chennai 600
009.

2.S.Jayandhi Ias

Chairperson Teacher Reuitment Board
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maaligai, DPI
Campus, College Road, Chennai 600
006.

3.Jeyappriya

Registrar and Member Secretary
Mother Terasa Womens University
NODEL SET Agency for Tamil Nadu
Kodaikanal- 624 101



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 2898 of 2025



R.N.MANJULA J.

bkn

**CONT P No. 2898 of
2025**

13-11-2025