



WEB COPY



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.08.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No. 30709 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos. 34410 & 34412 of 2025

M/s.Sumanth Holidings Private Limited,
Rep. By its Director Vijaykumar Muthian,
No.45/23, Flat-2B MKV-Seraphic Courtyard,
Play Ground 3rd Cross Street,
Kilpauk Garden Colony,
Kilpauk, Chennai – 600 010.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State Tax Officer,
Kilpauk Assessment Circle,
Central-II: Chennai Central,
Tamil Nadu.

...Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records of the assessment proceedings in GSTIN: 33AATCS1135J1Z7 / 2019-20 dated 19.07.2024 for the year 2019-20 and to quash this impugned order passed by the respondent and direct the respondent to pass fresh



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

orders as per the decision of the Madras High Court in the batch case W.P.No.25081 of 2024 and others dated 17.01.2024 by dropping the levy of tax and penalty as per the amended provisions of Section 16(5) of CGST Act after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Baktha Siromoni

For Respondent : Ms.P.Selvi
Government Advocate (Tax)

Order

Ms.P.Selvi, learned Government Advocate (Tax) takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order dated 19.07.2024 passed by the respondent for the AY 2019-20 and to quash the same and also consequential direction to the respondent to remand back the matter to the respondent for fresh consideration.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

respondent has issued a show cause notice in Form DRC 01 on 17.03.2023,

WEB COPY unfortunately, the petitioner was not aware of those notices and file reply to those notices. Since the petitioner failed to file reply to the said show cause notice, the first respondent has confirmed the proposals contained in the show cause notice and passed the present impugned order. He would further submit that the impugned order was passed without considering the circular issued by the Government of India in Circular No.136/06/2020-GST dated 03.04.2020 wherein it is stated, the availment of ITC even though claimed belatedly but before, November 2020 then, the petitioner need not pay further interest and penalty. Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside, as the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order.

3.1. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remand the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration. He would further



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

submit that there is bank attachment and the same may be lifted, subject to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax. Hence, he prayed for appropriate directions.

4. The learned Government Advocate (T) for the first respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them.



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

6. No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient

service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

7. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner.

In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice. Hence, this Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned order with terms, by issuing the following directions:-

i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 19.07.2024 is set aside.

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves had voluntarily come forward to make such payment, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

WEB COPY

v) Thereupon, the first respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

vi) Upon production of proof with regard to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax made by the petitioner, the first respondent-Department is directed to issue appropriate direction on the petitioner's banker towards de-freezure of the petitioner's bank account forthwith.

8. With the above observations & directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

20.08.2025

kkn

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

7/8



WEB COPY

To

The State Tax Officer,
Kilpauk Assessment Circle,
Central-II: Chennai Central,
Tamil Nadu.



W.P.No.30709 of 2025

KKN

W.P.No. 30709 of 2025

20.08.2025

8/8