



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.22930 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 19.08.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN

Crl.O.P.No.22930 of 2025

Ganapathy @ Saravanan

... Petitioner

-VS-

The state rep by
The Inspector of Police
T4-Maduravoyal Police Station
Chennai.
(Crime No 473 of 2025)

...Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No. 473 of 2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police, T4-Maduravoyal Police Station , Chennai

For Petitioner

: Mr. M.Vinoth Kumar

For Respondent

: Mr.L.Baskaran
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.22930 of 2



ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.07.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 311, 351(3) of BNS Act in Crime No. 473 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with co-accused had entered into the defacto complainant's juice shop, demanded money and robbed a sum of Rs.640/- from his pocket at knife point and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed on him. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submits that the petitioner along with co-accused had entered into the defacto complainant's juice shop, demanded money and robbed a sum of Rs.640/- from his pocket at knife point and also threatened him with dire consequences. He also submitted that there are six previous cases pending



against the petitioner. He also submits that in this case, investigation is pending. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) and also considering the fact that six previous cases were pending against the petitioner and also investigation is still pending, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

19.08.2025

nr

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Poonamallee.

2. The Inspector of Police
T4-Maduravoyal Police Station
Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.22930 of 2



Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.22930 of 2025

19.08.2025