



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-08-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

REV.APLW No. 211 of 2025

1. R.Arunachalam

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. R.Selvaraj
S/o.Ramasamy Gounder

2.District Collector
Tirupur District.

3.The Assistant Engineer
TANGEDCO,
Thiruvallur Nagar, Tirupur.

4.K.Subramaniam
S/o.Kandasamy Gounder

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To review the order dated 11.07.2025 made in WP No. 25350 of 2025 on the file of this High Court.



WEB COPY



For Appellant(s): Mr.T.N.Rajagopalan
for Mr.S. Kamalesh Kannan

For Respondent(s): Mr.V.Anandamurthy For R1
Mr.E.Vijay Anand
Additional Government Pleader for R2
Mr.L.Jaivenkatesh
Standing Counsel for R3

ORDER

The Review Application has been filed by the review applicant who is the third party to review the order passed in the W.P.No.25350 of 2025 dated 11.07.2025.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing on 07.08.2025, this Court passed the following order,

“This writ petition has been filed seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the second respondent to provide electricity connection to the petitioner for the Well situated in S.No.611/2, Karaipudhur Village, Palladam Taluk, Tirupur District applied for under the Fast Track (Tatkal) self-financing scheme C.

2. Heard Mr.V.Anandhamurthy, learned counsel for petitioner, Mr.E.Veda Bagath Singh, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for first respondent and Mr.L.Jaivenkatesh, learned Standing



WEB COPY



Counsel appearing for second respondent.

3. *The case of the petitioner is that he and his brother are joint owners of the properties in S.F.No.611/1B which was purchased through registered sale deeds dated 09.12.2010 and 03.06.2016. They are engaged in agricultural activity and they applied for electricity connection for the Well situated in S.No.611/2, Karaipudhur Village, Palladam Taluk, Tirupur District under the Fast Track (Tatkal) self-financing scheme C. A communication was received from the second respondent dated 30.11.2024 approving the request made by the petitioner and directed for the installation process. In the mean time, the third respondent objected to the electricity connection given to the petitioner on the ground that there is an encroachment into this property. Hence, he filed W.P.No.1770 of 2024 before this Court not to make any encroachment on the cart track in Survey No.611/1A. This writ petition was disposed of by the Hon'ble First Bench of this Court by order dated 30.01.2024 with a direction to the Committee constituted under G.O.(Ms) No.64 dated 08.02.2022 to consider the same on its own merits and in line with the Government Order.*

4. *Pursuant to the above order, a detailed enquiry was conducted by the Committee and the Committee, through proceedings dated 09.09.2024, came to a conclusion that the cart track is a 'Nilaviyal Pathai' and therefore, the third respondent cannot make any objections.*

5. *The grievance of the petitioner is that in spite*



WEB COPY



of the above order passed by the Committee, the TANGEDCO is dragging their feet and is not providing electricity service connection. It is under these circumstances, the present writ petition has been filed.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the proceedings of the Committee dated 09.09.2024 and also considering the fact that mere pendency of a suit is not a ground to stop the authorities from proceeding further to grant service connection to the petitioner without there being any restraint order, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to act upon the application and to provide electricity connection for the Well. This process shall be completed by the second respondent within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

This writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.”

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, it is brought to the notice of this Court that the appeal was filed before the District Monitoring Committee on 07.10.2024 and formed part of the typed-set of papers filed in the writ petition. Only upon noticing the same, the order has been passed in the writ petition.

4. The learned counsel for the Review petitioner submitted that the parties



can easily settle the dispute by placing the electricity poll in a place, which is not objectionable.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the nature of the dispute before the parties, it is not possible to resolve this issue in this review petition.

6. In the light of the above discussion, this Court does not find any illegality apparent on the face of the order passed in the writ petition.

7. Accordingly, the Review Application is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, are closed.

20-08-2025

gd

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

1.R.Selvaraj

S/o.Ramasamy Gounder, Therku
Thottam, Akkanampalayam,
Karaipudhur Village, Palladam Taluk,
Truppur District.

2.District Collector

Tirupur District.

3.The Assistant Engineer

Tangedco, Thiruvallur Nagar, Tirupur.

4.K.Subramaniam

S/o.Kandasamy Gounder,
Akkanampalayam, Karaipudhur
Village, Palladam Taluk, Tirupur-641
605.



WEB COPY

REV.APLW No. 211 of 20



N.ANAND VENKATESH J.

gd

**REV.APLW No. 211 of
2025**

20-08-2025