



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.22056 of 2025

Gowtham

Petitioner

Vs

State Rep. By,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Attaiyampatti Police Station,
Salem District.

Crime No.232 of 2025.


Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Siranjeevi

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udhayakumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002, in Crime No.232 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.




WEB COPY

2. The case of prosecution is that the petitioner and defacto complainant both the wordy quarreled, petitioners abused and assaulted the defacto complainant, as a result of which, thereby causing injuries to her and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that there was some wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of the complaint and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate cum Mahila Court, Salem**, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties, **in which one surety must be a blood surety** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 6.00 p.m., for a period of three months

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

kmm

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

12.09.2025

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate cum Mahila Court, Salem.
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Attaiyampatti Police Station,
Salem District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.

CRL.O.P.No.22056 of 2025

4/4