



WEB COPY



W.P.No.30515 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 14.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Ms. JUSTICE P.T. ASHA

W.P.No. 30515 of 2025

Vennila

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Thirupathur District,
Thirupathur.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Thirupathur,
Thirupathur District.

3.The Tahsildhar,
Thirupathur,
Thirupathur District.

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Section 226 of the Constitution of India for issue of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations dated 03.03.2025 and thereby direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service since



W.P.No.30515 of 2022

10.12.2024 with all service benefits and back wages to the petitioner in the light of the GO.(MS).No.81 dated 04.08.2022.

WEB COPY

For Petitioners : Mr. B.Sundarapandiyan
For Respondent : Mr. T.Chezhiyan
Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

The Writ Petition is filed for the following relief:

“directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations dated 03.03.2025 and thereby direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service since 10.12.2024 with all service benefits and back wages to the petitioner in the light of the GO.(MS).No.81 dated 04.08.2022”.

2. With the consent of both the parties, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.



W.P.No.30515 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. On the ground of prolonged suspension, the petitioner seeks for interference to the suspension order through the present Writ Petition.

4. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India reported in 2015 (7) SCC 291* had set certain guidelines with regard to prolonged suspension. The Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court in the case of *P.Kannan Vs. Commissioner of Municipal Administration and others reported in 2022 (2) CTC 353* had held that the Judgement of the Apex Court, in the case of *Ajay Kumar Choudhary (Supra)* does not lay down an absolute proposition of law that an order of suspension cannot be continued beyond the period of three months on the circumstances mentioned therein and that the order of suspension should be analysed on the facts of each case, considering the gravity of charges and the Rules applicable. It was further held that the revocation of the suspension with a direction to the employer to post the delinquent in a non-sensitive post, as held in *Ajay Kumar Choudhary's case (supra)*, also cannot be endorsed or directed



W.P.No.30515 of 2022

as a matter of course.

WEB COPY

5. In line with the orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as well as the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court, the Government in GO.Ms.No.81, Human Resources Management (N) Department, Dated 04.08.2022 had stipulated a compendium of instructions for review of suspension orders in matter which are pending inquiry into grave charges.

6. On consideration of these instructions in GO.Ms.No.81, this Court is of the view that the respondent herein could be directed to review the order of suspension in accordance with the guidelines issued thereunder.

7. In the light of the above findings, there shall be a direction to the respondents herein, to consider the petitioner's representation dated 03.03.2025 seeking for reinstatement in accordance with the instructions issued by the Government in GO.Ms.No.81 dated



W.P.No.30515 of 2022

04.08.2022 and pass appropriate orders within a period of 2 months
from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

8. The Writ Petition is allowed with the above lines. No cost.

14.08.2025

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No

kan

To

5/7



W.P.No.30515 of 2023

1.The District Collector,
Thirupathur District,
Thirupathur.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Thirupathur,
Thirupathur District.

3.The Tahsildhar,
Thirupathur,
Thirupathur District.

P.T. ASHA, J



WEB COPY



W.P.No.30515 of 2025

kan

W.P.No. 30515 of 2025

14.08.2025