



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 22012 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 22012 of 2025

S.Gopinath

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State By, The Deputy
Superintendent of Police,
Economic Offences Wing-II-CID,
Kancheepuram, Kancheepuram District.Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of
arrest by the Respondent Police in connection with C.C. No. 06/2025 pending
on the file of the HONBLE SPECIAL JUDGE (TNPID COURT) at Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Ramaswamy Meyyappan


For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 420, 409, 109 and 120(b) of IPC r/w Section 5 of the TNPID Act, 1997 and Section 21(1)(2)(3) and 23 of the BUDS Act in C.C.No.06 of 2025 and Crime No.02 of 2019 pending on the file of the Special Court under the TNPID Act, 1997, Chennai.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner herein is one of the Independent Representative of the Accused No.1 company by name M/s.Vihaan Direct Selling (India) Pvt. Ltd., colluded with other accused and influenced more than 190 depositors; that thereby collected a sum of Rs.5,63,63,286/- from them and thereafter, failed to repay the amount back to the depositors. Based on a complaint received from one of the depositor namely M. Rameshwari, the respondent police registered a case in Crime No.02 of 2019. Subsequently, the case was investigated and final report was filed in C.C.No.06 of 2025 pending on the file of the Special Court under TNPID Act, 1997, Chennai. In the final report, the specific allegation against this petitioner/A10 is that he is an



WEB COPY

Independent representatives and also working under the direct control of A7-Mariappan. The accused Dilipraj Pukkella/A3 and Muhammed Imithiaaz/A4 colluded along with the petitioner/A10 and received the deposit amount in Pyramid Scheme through his sponsors' Renaut Nivedha/A15 & Seelan/A18 with an intention not to repay the deposit amount and also the interest amount.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and the case of the prosecution is false. He further submitted that NBW was issued against the co-accused/A3 and subsequently, A3 filed an anticipatory bail application in Crl.O.P.No.20639 of 2025 before this Court and the same was allowed on 29.07.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that A1 company had cheated their depositors by collecting money as deposits, issuing e-coupons from the



WEB COPY

amounts collected and delivering substandard products. He also submitted that already a detailed investigation conducted by examining witnesses and charge sheet filed before the Trial Court and the Trial Court on perusal of the same, took cognizance of the case and NBW issued against the petitioner and other accused.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the investigation was completed and charge sheet already filed, the co-accused was already granted anticipatory bail and there is nothing to show that the petitioner has evaded summons, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned Special Judge, Special Court for TNPID Act cases, Chennai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent



police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said

Magistrate, on further conditions that:

WEB COPY

[a] If the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;


[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall appear before the Trial Court concerned on all hearing dates without fail;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police officer as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;



[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

WEB COPY

[h] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

19-09-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To
WEB COPY

1. The State By, The Deputy
Superintendent of Police,
Economic Offences Wing-II-CID,
Kancheepuram, Kancheepuram District.
2. The Special Judge, Special Court for
TNPID Act cases, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 22012 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 22012 of
2025**

19-09-2025