IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.08.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.29688 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos.33293 & 33294 of 2025

A.B.N Store and General Merchant,
Its represent by Proprietor
Nayaz S/o.Badhusha, No.3/566,
Athimugam, Krishnagiri 635105.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Assistant Commissioner,
Hosur North-II, Assessment Circle,
Hosur, Commercial Taxes Building, Second Floor,
Hosur, Krishnagiri,
Tamil Nadu.

...Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records relating to the impugned order (GSTIN: 33AMEPN6903F1ZA/2017-18) dated 29.06.2024 and its consequential Demand order dated 29.06.2024 having Reference No. ZD3306243707465 issued by the respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Muruganandham

For Respondent : Mrs.K.Vasanthamala
Government Advocate (Taxes)

Order

Mrs.K.Vasanthamala, learned Government Advocate (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the orders dated 29.06.2024 passed by the respondent and to quash the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent has issued a show cause notice to the petitioner on 16.10.2023 and the same were uploaded in the GST portal without serving physical copy to the petitioner and therefore the petitioner was not aware of the same. Since the petitioner failed to file reply to the said show cause notice, the respondent has confirmed the proposals contained in the show cause notice and passed the present impugned assessment order along with demand order. Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned orders suffer from violation of principles of natural justice and are liable to be aside, as the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned orders.

3.1. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned orders and remand the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Taxes) for the respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them.

6. No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.

7. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice. Hence, this Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned orders with terms, by issuing the following directions:-

i) The orders impugned herein are set aside.

ii)Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves had voluntarily came forward to make such payment, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

8. With the above observations & directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

11.08.2025

arr
Index : yes/no
Neutral Citation : yes/no

To

The Assistant Commissioner,
Hosur North-II, Assessment Circle,
Hosur, Commercial Taxes Building, Second Floor,
Hosur, Krishnagiri,
Tamil Nadu.

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

arr

W.P.No.29688 of 2025

11.08.2025