



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21855 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.21855 of 2025

Sivakumar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption
Tirupattur,
Tirupattur District.
Crime No.3 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.3 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr. E.Kannadasan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.07.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act 1988 as amended by Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act



Crl.O.P.No.21855 of 2025


2018 in Crime No.3 of 2025 on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the President of Mel Sanangkuppam village, Ambur Taluk and the defacto Complainant is a person involved in real estate business. For getting DTCP approval for 6.94 acres of land of the defacto complainant, the petitioner approached him and had demanded Rs.15,00,000/- for granting approval. The petitioner had paid some amount on various dates in installments through cash and UPI transaction. While the petitioner demanded him to pay the balance amount, the defacto Complainant preferred a complaint against the petitioner. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent person and he had been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence, however, he is suffering incarceration from 22.07.2025. He also submitted that the defacto Complainant wanted to victimize the petitioner after the lapse of one year, he had come up with a false allegations against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the



Crl.O.P.No.21855 of 2025

respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 22.07.2025.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.


6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Tirupattur** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., for a period of 30 days, and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] The petitioner shall make himself available for



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21855 of 2025

interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, she shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;


[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

06.08.2025

Shl

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being



CrI.O.P.No.21855 of 2025

uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Tirupattur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption
Tirupattur,
Tirupattur District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Vellore.
5. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21855 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Shl

CrI.O.P.No.21855 of 2025

06.08.2025