



Arbitration Original Petition (Com.Div.) No.560 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

Arbitration Original Petition (Com.Div.) No.560 of 2025

and

A.No.4551 of 2025

1.M/s.Pearl Community One Developers Pvt. Limited,
represented by its Managing Director,
Mr.Maurius Anton Chrislyn Cardoza,
Old No.33, New No.8/1,
Haudin Road, Bengaluru – 560 042.

2.Maurius Anton Chrislyn Cardoza

.... Petitioners

Vs.

Praveen Manavalan
represented by its power Agent
Mrs.Tharini Manavalan
Flat No.1A, Ramaniyam Saraswathi,
B-17, 22nd Cross Street, Besant Nagar,
Chennai – 600 090.

.... Respondent

Arbitration Original Petition (Com.Div.) filed under Section 34(4) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, praying to set aside the award dated 11.04.2025 in Arb.O.P.No.428 of 2023 passed by the sole Arbitrator in its entirety.

For Petitioners : Mr.Adeesh Anto
for Mr.S.Marimuthu

For Respondent : Mr.S.Arjun Suresh
and Mr.Anisha Gupta



ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition was filed under Section 34(4) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, praying to set aside the award dated 11.04.2025 in Arb.O.P.No.428 of 2023 passed by the sole Arbitrator in its entirety.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing today, learned counsel for petitioners submitted that the second petitioner met with an accident and therefore, once again sought for time.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing on 10.11.2025, this Court passed the following order:

“In spite of the earlier order passed by this Court on 07.11.2025, till date no affidavit has been filed.

2. There is no representation for the petitioner.

Post this application under the caption “for dismissal” on 12.11.2025.”

When the matter is listed under the caption 'for dismissal', the petitioner is expected to get ready with the matter and comply with the earlier directions issued by this Court. This Court is able to see that the petitioners are only trying to drag on the proceedings by giving lame



Arbitration Original Petition (Com.Div.) No.560 of 2025

excuses. Since the matter is listed under the caption 'for dismissal' and the petitioner is not effectively prosecuting this petition and is also not complying with the earlier directions issued by this Court, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, connected application is closed.

12.11.2025

Index:yes/no
NCC:yes/no
Speaking Order/Non-speaking order
gm



WEB COPY



Arbitration Original Petition (Com.Div.) No.560 of 2025

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

gm

Arbitration Original Petition (Com.Div.) No.560 of 2025

12.11.2025