



Rev.Appl.(Writ) No.230 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.01.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SUNDAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SENTHILKUMAR

Rev.Appl. (Writ) No.230 of 2024

and

W.M.P.No.41021 of 2024

T.Chandran

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.S.Vijayan
2.S.Pachayappan
3.S.Susiyaraj
4.S.Kandan
5.Lakshmi
6.Senjilakshmi
7.S.Ganamoorthy
P1 to P7 are represented by their Agent
V.Indumathi

8.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its Additional Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development,
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.



Rev.Appl.(Writ) No.230 of 2024

9.The Member Secretary,
Chennai Metro Development Authority,
Thaimuthu Natarajan Building,
Gandhi Irwin Road,
Chennai.

10.The Commissioner,
Corporation of Chennai, Ripon Building,
Chennai – 3.

11.The Executive Engineer, Corporation of Chennai,
Zonal Office-3,
No.1, Thattankulam Street,
Bazar Road, Madhavaram,
Chennai – 600 060.

12.The Tahsildar,
Madhavaram Taluk Office,
Madhavaram,
Chennai.

... Respondents

Prayer : Review Application filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India r/w. Order XLVII Rule 1 of Code of Civil Procedure to review the order dated 02.04.2024 made in W.P.No.14723 of 2023 on the file of this Court.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.M.Vijay Anand for Mr.M.Gnanasekar
For R1 to R7	:	Mr.S.Elumalai
For R8 and R12	:	Mr.P.Balathandayutham Special Government Pleader
For R9	:	Mr.R.Sivakumar



Rev.Appl.(Writ) No.230 of 2024

Standing Counsel

For R10 and R11 : Mr.D.B.R.Prabhu
Standing Counsel

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **S.S. SUNDAR, J.**)

This Review Application is directed against the order of this Court dated 02.04.2024 made in W.P.No.14723 of 2023.

2.This Court finds no reason to revisit or review the order dated 02.04.2024 in W.P.No.14723 of 2023. The scope of review has been dealt with in several precedents by Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as this Court. A Review is not an Appeal in disguise. There is no scope for rehearing or re-arguing the case in a Review Application. Unless there is an error apparent on the face of the record, this Court cannot entertain a Review Application merely because a different order can also be passed. Even an error which can only be deducted by process of reasoning will not fall within the scope of Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC. In such circumstances, we find no merit in this Review Application.



Rev.Appl.(Writ) No.230 of 2024

WEB COPY

3. Therefore, this Review Application is dismissed. It is open to the petitioner to work out his remedy before the Civil Court. If he establishes his title before the Civil Court, it follows that he can also apply for patta. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(S.S.S.R., J.) (N.S., J.)
22.01.2025

mkn

Internet : Yes

Index : Yes / No

Neutral citation : Yes / No

To

1. The Additional Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Housing and Urban Development,
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.

2. The Member Secretary,
Chennai Metro Development Authority,
Thaimuthu Natarajan Building,
Gandhi Irwin Road,
Chennai.

3. The Commissioner,
Corporation of Chennai, Ripon Building,
Chennai – 3.



Rev.Appl.(Writ) No.230 of 2024

4.The Executive Engineer, Corporation of Chennai,
Zonal Office-3,
No.1, Thattankulam Street,
Bazar Road, Madhavaram,
Chennai – 600 060.

5.The Tahsildar,
Madhavaram Taluk Office,
Madhavaram,
Chennai.

S.S. SUNDAR, J.
and
N. SENTHILKUMAR, J.



WEB COPY



Rev.Appl.(Writ) No.230 of 2024

mkn

Rev.Appl. (Writ) No.230 of 2024

22.01.2025

Page 6