



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 21585 of 2023



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

**CRL OP No. 21585 of 2023 &
Crl.M.P.No.14897 of 2023**

Y.S.Raja Ranjith Kumar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Inspector Of Police
Team-11, Bank Farud Investigation,
Central Crime Branch, Chennai (crime
No.178/2023)

2.Y.S.Raja Ranjith Kumar
Branch Head, Bank Of Baroda,
Kolathur Branch, Chennai 600049

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.178/2023 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Team-11, Bank Fraud Investigation, Central Crime Branch, Chennai and Quash the Same



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 21585 of 2023



For Petitioner(s): Mr.Sunny Sheen for
Mr.J.Kingsly Solomon

For Respondent(s): Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan for R1
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.178/2023 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Team-11, Bank Fraud Investigation, Central Crime Branch, Chennai and Quash the same.

2. The petitioner was the defacto complainant and he is the bank manager. According to him, A.1 and A.2 created certain documents and mortgaged the documents and availed loan and the loan has become Non-performing Asset, hence FIR has been filed against A.1 and A.2 and without any materials, the petitioner has been implicated as A.3.

3. Now, it is the contention of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the 1st respondent that during the investigation, it revealed that A.3 also colluded with A.1 and A.2 and there are materials against A.3 that he



WEB COPY

used to collect 1% commission for sanctioning the loan. That apart, even prior to the sale in favour of A.1, the property was inspected by A.3, therefore he was also made an accused and arrested. Further, gold chain was also recovered from A.3, which was given as a gift for sanctioning the loan.

4. Considering the above said facts and circumstances of the case, at this stage, FIR cannot be quashed. It is no doubt true that petitioner was not made as an accused in the FIR and only during the investigation, the petitioner was made as an accused. At any event, FIR should continue for investigation against A.1 and A.2, therefore, FIR cannot be quashed. If at all any materials collected against the petitioner / A.3 in the final report, liberty is granted to the petitioner to challenge the final report.

Accordingly, the present Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

22-09-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ssd



To

WEB COPY

1. Inspector Of Police
Team-11, Bank Farud Investigation,
Central Crime Branch, Chennai (crime
No.178/2023)

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 21585 of 2023



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

ssd

**CRL OP No. 21585 of
2023**

22-09-2025