



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21569 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED : 01.08.2025**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

**Crl.O.P.No.21569 of 2025**

Tamilarasan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep by Sub-Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station, Polur,  
Thiruvannamalai District.  
(Cr.No.44 of 2025)

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime  
No.44 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Thirumalai

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

Mr.R.Vinoth for Intervenor

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody  
on 17.07.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 69, 351(3) of BNS,  
in Crime No.44 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.21569 of 2025

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is arrayed as A1.

The petitioner and the victim had love affair from the year 2018. When the victim came to know that the petitioner was having an affair with another women, they got separated. Thereafter, the victim developed mental depression and she had also taken some treatment. Later they got pacified. When the petitioner refused to marry the victim a complaint has been lodged. However, the apprehension of the victim is that the petitioner is having photographs of victim and also some close moments which he would expose. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner,



Crl.O.P.No.21569 of 2025

WEB COPY


reiterated the prosecution case submitted that the mobile phone of the petitioner has been seized and given to forensic examination.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor submits that the petitioner had cheated the victim by giving false promise that he would marry the victim. He further submits that from the year 2018 the petitioner and the victim had love affair and they also had physical relationship. Now, the petitioner is threatening the victim using the photographs of the victim taken when they were in happy moments. Subsequently, the petitioner developed relationship with another women. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on



CrI.O.P.No.21569 of 2025

WEB COPY

his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **District Judicial Magistrate Court, Kalasapakkam** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on all hearing dates;**

[c] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21569 of 2025

to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

**01.08.2025**


RAP

**Note :**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

5/7



CrI.O.P.No.21569 of 2025

WEB COPY

- 1.The District Judicial Magistrate Court, Kalasapakkam.
- 2.The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Polur, Thiruvannamalai District.
- 3.The Superintendent,  
Central Prison, Vellore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21569 of 2025

**M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

**RAP**

**Crl.O.P.No.21569 of 2025**

**01.08.2025**