



W.P.No.30223 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.08.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

WP No.30223 of 2025
and WMP No.33902 of 2025

Varaaki
S/o.V.Radhakrishnan,
No.2/3, Sudhanandha Bharathi Street,
Ganapathipuram, East Tambaram,
Chennai – 600 059.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Registrar General
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras,
Chennai - 600 104.
2. Hon'ble Justice Mr.K.Chandru (Retired)
No.3, Justice Sundaram Road (Basement)
Mylapore, Chennai - 600 004.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus forbearing the Retired Judges from issuing public letters, appeals or statements that are



W.P.No.30223 of 2025

intended to influence pending judicial Proceedings, particularly in matters involving allegations against sitting Judges, in order to protect judicial neutrality and maintain public trust in the justice system.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.G.S.Mani

For Respondent(s): Mr.Suhrith Parthasarathy
for 1st respondent

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

By this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made to forbear the retired Judges from issuing public letters, appeals or statements touching upon pending matters in the court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, referring to various communications and publications, would submit that, though certain issues are pending on the judicial side and the court is seized of the matter, various letters/opinions are being circulated, which has the effect of influencing the judicial process.



W.P.No.30223 of 2025

The petitioner has gone to the extent of impleading a former Judge of this court on the basis that he had sent certain letters.

3. Irrespective of the merits of the pending cases before the court, we are not convinced with the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner that any such letters or communications, if any, sent by any person, including the former Judge as named in the petition and impleaded as respondent, are intended to influence judicial proceedings.

4. By this frivolous petition, an attempt has been made to malign personalities only actuated by certain motives, which is well reflected from the conduct of the petitioner.

5. In the result, the writ petition is liable to be dismissed and is, accordingly, dismissed with costs quantified at Rs.10,000/- payable to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority, within two weeks from today.



W.P.No.30223 of 2025

Consequently, interim application stands closed.

WEB COPY

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA, CJ)

(SUNDER MOHAN,J)

13.08.2025

Index : Yes
Neutral Citation : Yes
sasi

To:

1. The Registrar General
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras,
Chennai - 600 104.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.30223 of 2025

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
SUNDER MOHAN,J.

(sasi)

WP No.30223 of 2025
and WMP No.33902 of 2025

13.08.2025