



Crl.O.P.No.21620 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.21620 of 2025

WEB COM **M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

This matter is posted today before this Court under the caption 'For Being Mentioned' at the instance of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that this Court, by an order dated 01.08.2025, has granted bail to the petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.21620 of 2025. However in the order copy, the date has been mentioned as "**28.07.2025**" instead of "**01.08.2025**" and the same requires to be rectified. Hence, he prayed for suitable directions.

3. Registry is directed to carry out the necessary correction and issue order copy afresh. Further, two weeks time is granted to the petitioner to comply with the conditions.

06.08.2025

ep



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21620 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.
ep

Crl.O.P.No.21620 of 2025

06.08.2025



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.21620 of 2025

Wesley @ Ranjith

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Anti Vice Squad-1,
Chennai.
Crime No.22 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No. 22 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. M.N.Balakrishnan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.06.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 3(2)(a), 4(1), 5(1)(a) of ITP Act registered in Crime No.22 of 2025 on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other



WEB COPY

accused indulged in prostitution by engaging girls and in the place of occurrence, 10 victim girls were secured. Accordingly, he was arrested. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence, however, she is suffering incarceration from 28.06.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police, submitted that the petitioner along with other accused indulged in prostitution by engaging girls. The petitioner was arrested and 10 victim girls were secured. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his/her executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand**



(only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned**

IV Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] The petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, she shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13**



SCC 283];

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can

be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

WEB COPY

28.07.2025

Shl


Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The IV Metropolitan Magistrate,
Saidapet, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police
Anti Vice Squad-1,
Chennai.



3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

WEB COPY

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Shl



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21620 of 2025

28.07.2025