



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 31.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

R.Sakthi

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by The Sub Inspector of Police,
Tiruvannamalai Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Cr.No.384 of 2024)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime
No.384 of 2024 pending on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : M/s.P.Jayachandran

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 30.06.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 194(3)(i) of BNSS
Act and subsequently altered into Section 108 of BNS Act in Crime No.384
of 2024, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the husband is the husband of the deceased and that the petitioner harassed the deceased with regard to the loan for the purpose of his business, but the deceased said that she could not take loan at that moment, due to which, deceased got frustrated and committed suicide. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. It is seen that the marriage between the petitioner and the deceased had taken place on 14.04.2023 and they have one year old child and both employed in software, but the petitioner is residing in Chennai and the deceased is residing in Bangalore and both rented an house in Tiruvannamalai and both used to live in Tiruvannamalai during the date of work from home and whenever the time permits. The RDO enquiry is inconclusive. Further, the petitioner had to start a business had demanded money from the deceased, due to which, there was a quarrel. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvannamalai** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

31.07.2025

sma

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Tiruvannamalai.
- 2.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Tiruvannamalai Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
- 3.The Central Prison,
Vellore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

5/6



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

sma

Crl.O.P.No.21506 of 2025

31.07.2025