



Crl. O.P. No. 21239 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl. O.P. No. 21239 of 2025

C.Naren Karthick

... Petitioner/2nd Accused

Vs.

State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Podanur Police Station,
Coimbatore.
(Crime No. 204 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in Crime No. 204 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr. E.K.Kumaresan

For Respondent : Mr. R. Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.05.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 194, 103(1), 238, 61(2) of BNS Act, 2023 in connection with Crime No.204 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



Crl. O.P. No. 21239 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the deceased is the friend of the A1 and other room-mates used to visit the room and thereafter, he had developed a relationship with one Thara and later, he tried to ditch with Thara. The brother of the said Thara had informed about this to the A1, who had questioned the deceased and forced him to get the issue resolved and marry Thara, which the deceased refused for the same. Thereafter, it was found projected that the petitioner along with others have taken the deceased and intoxicated the deceased with alcohol and assaulted the deceased with wooden log which leading to the death of the deceased. Thereafter, the body of the deceased was thrown in the well. In this case, the complaint has been lodged by VAO and it is only on suspicion, the petitioner and others were arrested. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the co-accused had been granted bail by this Court. He also submit that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.




Crl. O.P. No. 21239 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that that in this case, initially case in Crime No.204 of 2025 was registered for the offence under Section 194 of BNS Act on 11.05.2025 by Podhanur Police. Later, it was transferred to Perur Police Station and it was re-numbered as Crime No. 212 of 2025. The Podhanur Police Station comes under the jurisdiction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Madhukarai. After transfer of the case to Perur Police Station, the jurisdictional Magistrate comes under learned Judicial Magistrate I, Coimbatore. Since the case bundle has not been transferred from Judicial Magistrate, Madukarai to Judicial Magistrate I, Coimbatore, there is some delay. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the co-accused had been granted bail, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



Crl. O.P. No. 21239 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Madukkarai** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday and Sunday at 10.30 am for a period of six months and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, she shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;



CrI. O.P. No. 21239 of 2025

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

29.07.2025

Sma

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No. 21239 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Sma

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate I, Coimbatore.
- 2.The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Madhukarai.
- 3.The Perur Police Station.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
D-3 Podanur Police Station.
- 5.The Superintendent,
Coimbatore Central Prison,
Coimbatore.
6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.21239 of 2025

29.07.2025