



WEB COPY

WP No. 28102 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-08-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE N. MALA

WP No. 28102 of 2025

1. L.Fathima

Alias Fathima Beevi, Wife Of
Liyagath Alikhan, No. 25
Kamarajapuram Main Road,
Kamarajapuram Chennai 60 0073.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner

Tambaram Corporation, West
Tambaram, Chennai 600 045.

2. Abdul Kareem

Son Of Abdul Kani Saheb No. 25
Kamarajapuram Main Road,
Kamarajapuram, Chennai 600 073.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India

praying for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the 1st respondent to



consider the petitioner's representation dated 13.12.2024 and to take appropriate legal action against the 2nd respondent within a stipulated period in accordance with law.

For Petitioner(s): A.Vijayakannan
Ramprasath B
Thrumalaivasan P
Uthra N

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Srinivsan, Standing
counsel

ORDER

(1) Mr.P.Srinivas, learned Standing counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. With the consent of both the learned counsels, the main writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage. Notice to the 2nd respondent is dispensed with.

(2) The writ petition is filed by the petitioner for issuance of a mandamus directing the 1st respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 13.12.2024 and to take appropriate legal action against the 2nd respondent within the time stipulated by this Court.




WEB COPY

(3) The petitioner states that the 2nd respondent is her brother and he was allowed to reside in a portion of the property belonging to the petitioner for the purpose of eking out his livelihood. However, the 2nd respondent was doing meat selling business in the said property in the name and style as "Elahi Mutton and Chicken Centre" without the petitioner's permission. As the 2nd respondent was conducting the said business without proper license from the authorities, the petitioner and other local residents approached the 1st respondent and submitted petitions to stop the illegal activities of the 2nd respondent. As no action was taken, the petitioner submitted a representation on 13.12.2024. Even thereafter, no action was taken. Therefore, the petitioner was constrained to file the above writ petition for the aforesaid relief.

(4) Heard the learned counsels on either side and also perused the materials placed on record.

(5) Under the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the limited prayer in the writ petition, a direction is issued to the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 13.12.2024 on



merits and in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date

of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

(6) The writ petition is **disposed of with the above direction.** No costs.

11-08-2025

AP

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Commissioner

Tambaram Corporation, West

Tambaram, Chennai 600 045.

2. Abdul Kareem

Son Of Abdul Kani Saheb No. 25

Kamrajapuram Main Road,

Kamarajapuram, Chennai 600 073.



WEB COPY

WP No. 28102 of 2025



N.MALA J.

AP

WP No. 28102 of 2025

11-08-2025