



Crl.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

Sanjay

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police
T-5, Thiruverkadu Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.473 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.473 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr P.Praveen Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.07.2025, for the offence punishable under Section 123 of BNS Act and Sections 24(1) of Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act, 2003 in Crime No. 473 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the De-facto Complainant, who is the Sub-Inspector of Police attached to the Respondent conducted checkup near Perumalagaram Bus stand and at that time, the petitioner was found in possession of huge quantity of prohibited Tobacco products . Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence, however, he is suffering incarceration from 08.07.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 1 kg and 295 grams of banned tobacco products. He also submitted that one previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, considering



Crl.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.2, Poonamallee, Chennai** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] The petitioner shall deposit a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) (Non refundable)** towards the account of Madras Advocate Cooperative Society Limited, Madras in Account No.484022647, Indian Bank, Madras High Court Branch and shall produce the Bank Challan before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Poonamallee, Chennai and the receipt shall be produced at the time of executing the bond;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

[d] The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;


[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

28.07.2025

Shl

Note:

4/6



Crl.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.2,
Poonamallee, Chennai
2. The Inspector of Police
T-5, Thiruverkadu Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal-II, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

Shl

CrI.O.P.No.20996 of 2025

28.07.2025