



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-07-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

WP No. 27359 of 2022

1. P.Vivekanandan

S/o.Ponnuswamy

2. A.Kalyanasundaram

S/o.K.Appasamy

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Revenue officer

Kancheepuram District Collector Office,
Kancheepuram District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer

6/114, Patnoolchathram, Sriperumpudur,
Kancheepuram District- 602 105

3.The Tahsildar

Sriperumpudur Taluk, Sriperumpudur,
Kancheepuram District

4.V.Neelakandan

S/o.Late Varadhan

5.Gowri

W/o.Veeramuthu



6.Santhi

W/o.I.Rajendran

WEB COPY

7.Anna Pusanam

W/o.Late Natarajan

8.Ruthramoorthy

S/o.Late Natarajan

9.Renuka

W/o.Annamalai

10.Purushothaman

S/o.late Natarajan

11.Kavitha

D/o.Late Natarajan

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Calling for the records of Impugned Order Na.Ka. No.17666 / 2020 / Y1 dated 15.03.2022 passed by the 1st respondent herein and quash the same as unlawful, ultra vires and against the principles of law and natural justice and direct the 1st respondent to declare the said Legal heir certificate as forged one or refer the petitioners representations dated 21.09.2020 and 12.10.2020 to the 2nd respondent for further enquiry.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Selvakumari

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Neelakandan
Additional Advocate General
asst by
Mr.T.M.Rajangam
Government Advocate for R1-3



Mr.T.Thiagarajan for R5, 7-11

ORDER

WEB COPY This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned proceedings of the 1st respondent dated 15.03.2022 and for a consequential direction to the 1st respondent to declare that the legal heirship certificate is a forged and fabricated document based on the representation made by the petitioner on 21.09.2021.

2. Heard Mr.D.Selvakumari, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.R.Neelakandan, learned Additional Advocate General assisted by Mr.T.M.Rajangam, learned Government Advocate for the respondents 1 to 3 and Mr.T.Thiagarajan for the respondents 5, 7 to 11.

3. On careful reading of the order passed by the 1st respondent, it is seen that the 1st respondent, after going through the entire facts and the allegations made by the petitioner, has chosen to reject the application mainly on the ground that it has been submitted beyond the period of three years.

4. Considering the grounds raised by the petitioner, and more particularly since there is allegation of forgery and fabrication of documents, it will be more appropriate for the 1st respondent to deal with the application submitted by the petitioner on merits.

5. In view of the above discussion, the impugned proceeding of the 1st



respondent in Na.Ka. No.17666 / 2020 / Y1, dated 15.03.2022 is quashed and the matter is remanded back to the file of the 1st respondent, and the 1st respondent shall deal with the case on merits after affording an opportunity to all the interested parties, a final decision shall be taken within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

6. This writ petition is disposed of in the above terms. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, are closed.

21-07-2025

gd

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WP No. 27359 of 2022



To
WEB COPY

1. The District Revenue officer
Kancheepuram District Collector
Office, Kancheepuram District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
6/114, Patnoolchathram,
Sriperumpudur, Kancheepuram
District- 602 105
3. The Tahsildar
Sriperumpudur Taluk, Sriperumpudur,
Kancheepuram District



WEB COPY

WP No. 27359 of 2022



N.ANAND VENKATESH J.

gd

WP No. 27359 of 2022

21-07-2025