



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

A.No.3762 of 2025 in Arb.O.P. DR.No.83536 of 2025

D.Janakiammal

.. Applicant

VS

M/s.Knit Gallery India Pvt. Ltd. and others

.. Respondents

ORDER

Notice sent to R1 and R4 to R7 has been duly served. The delivery reports have been filed along with the affidavit of service. Notice sent to R2 and R3 has been returned with an endorsement 'refused', which amounts to deemed service.

2.Mr.N.S.Ganesh, learned counsel undertakes to file vakalat on behalf of R1 to R4. No one has entered appearance on behalf of R5 to R7 despite receiving the notice in this application.

3.This application has been filed seeking to condone the delay of 25 days in filing the petition under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.



WEB COPY



ABDUL QUDDHOSE,J.

vga

4.This Court is satisfied with the reasons contained in the affidavit filed in support of this application as sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant for condoning the delay.

5.Accordingly, this application is allowed as prayed for. Registry is directed to number the Arb.O.P. DR.No.83536 of 2025 if the same is otherwise in order and list the same for admission by printing the name of Mr.N.S.Ganesh as the counsel for R1 to R4. Registry is directed to print the names of R5 to R7 in the cause list.

21.08.2025

vga

A.No.3762 of 2025 in Arb.OP. DR.No.83536 of 2025