



WEB COPY

WP No. 28063 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01-08-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 28063 of 2025

AND WMP NO. 31469 OF 2025, WMP NO. 31466 OF 2025

VENTURAPRANAS ACCOUNTING
PRIVATE LIMITED,
Rep by its Authorized Signatory, Adith Tiwari J,
1st Floor Meridian House,
Manickam Avenue, TTK Road,
Alwarpet, Chennai.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Alwarpet Assessment Circle,
Integrated Comerial Tax Building,
Nandanam, Chennai 600 035.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Certiorari, to call for the impugned order of the respondent passed in GSTIN 33AADCV5094R1ZM/2020-2021 dated 12.02.2025 and quash the same.



WEB COPY

WP No. 28063 of 2025



For Petitioner(s): Ms.A.Divya

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Harsha Raj
Addl. Govt. Pleader (taxes)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the impugned assessment order dated 12.02.2025, passed by the respondent relating to the Financial Year 2020-21 and to quash the same.

2.Mr.C.Harsha Raj, learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

3.By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

4.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that, in the present case, the petitioner have not received any physical copy of the show cause notice and also personal hearing notice. The show cause notice dated



26.11.2024 and a reminder were uploaded in the GST Portal tab and the petitioner had no occasion to open the GST Portal. Even the impugned order dated 12.02.2025 was also uploaded in the GST Portal, which is violation of principle of natural justice. He would further submit that the petitioner had already remitted 72% of the disputed tax demand and prayed to set aside the impugned order directing the respondent to permit the petitioner to file their reply and provide an opportunity of personal hearing so that the petitioner would be able to substantiate their case.

5.Learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that if the Court feels it appropriate and it is a fit case for re-consideration, this Court may consider and pass orders.

6.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

7. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

8. No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective



service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.

9. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to the petitioner. Hence, this Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned order, by issuing the following directions:-

- (i) The order impugned herein is set aside and the matter is remanded back to the respondent for fresh consideration.



WEB COPY

WP No. 28063 of 2025



(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice by fixing the date of personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

10. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. There is no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

01-08-2025

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes


Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

WP No. 28063 of 2025





WP No. 28063 of 2025



WEB COPY

To
Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Alwarpet Assessment Circle,
Integrated Commercial Tax Building,
Nandanam Chennai 600 035.



WEB COPY

WP No. 28063 of 2025



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

rst

WP No. 28063 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 31469 OF 2025,

WMP NO. 31466 OF 2025

01-08-2025