



Crl.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

Annamalai

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of police
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption
City Special Unit-I, MKN Road, Alandur
Chennai
Crime No.3/AC/2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.
.3/AC/2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr Krishnasamy Chinnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
01.07.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 7(b) of the Prevention of
Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018 in Crime No. 3/AC/2025, registered on the
file of the respondent, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that petitioner, who is working as Commercial Inspector, TANGEDCO, Manapakkam is alleged to have asked bribe amount of Rs.15,000/- from the defacto complainant for giving service connection. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence, however, he is suffering incarceration from 01.07.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner demanded a bribe amount of Rs.15,000/- from the defacto complainant. Hence, a complaint has been lodged on 30.06.2025 and the respondent conducted the trap and the petitioner caught red-handed on his receipt of bribe amount of Rs15,000/- and phenolphthalein test also confirmed the same and major portion of the investigation as regards trap is completed. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



CrI.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record .

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter, on every Monday at 10.30am., for a period of six months and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.



Crl.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

WEB COPY

[c] The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala** [(2005)13 SCC 283];

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.07.2025

nr



Crl.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

WEB COPY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu
2. The Inspector of police
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption
City Special Unit-I, MKN Road, Alandur
Chennai
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.20802 of 2025

24.07.2025