



W.P.No.26988 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

Dated : 25.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.26988 of 2025
and W.M.P.Nos.30294 & 30297 of 2025

M/s.Society of Green Makers (P) Ltd.,
Rep. by K.K.Venkatesan-Managing Director,
No.E-100, 13th West Street,
Kamarajar Nagar,
Thiruvanmiyur,
Chennai - 600 041.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy State Tax Officer-II,
Thiruvanmiyur Assessment Circle,
Nandanam, Chennai - 600 035.

2.The Commercial Tax Officer,
Thiruvanmiyur Assessment Circle,
Nandanam, Chennai - 600 035.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the
records pertaining to impugned order vide reference



W.P.No.26988 of 2025

No.ZD330824281449Z dated 29.08.2024 under Section 73 of the TNGST/CGST Act, which is culminated from the Impugned Show Cause Notice No.ZD330524214819B dated 23.05.2024 passed by the first respondent and quash the same being void, illegal, against the Principles of Natural Justice and the provisions of law and direct the first respondent to consider the payment made under CGST and SGST as IGST.

For Petitioner : Mr.Thyagarajan.K

For Respondents : Mr.C.Harsha Raj,
Special Government Pleader (T)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 29.08.2024 passed by the first respondent.

2. Mr.C.Harsha Raj, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the respondents. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this




W.P.No.26988 of 2025

WEB COPY

case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the first respondent under the “View Additional Notices and Orders” column in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the first respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Therefore, this petition has been filed.

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner is willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the respondents. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondents by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the respondents had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to



W.P.No.26988 of 2025

WEB COPY

the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondents, subject to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax amount by the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

8. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient



W.P.No.26988 of 2025

WEB COPY

service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

9. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being



W.P.No.26988 of 2025

provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

10. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the respondents. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 29.08.2024 passed by the first respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 29.08.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the first respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 25% of disputed tax amount to the respondents within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of payment of amount as stated above.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondents shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26988 of 2025

personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

11. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

25.07.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

vm

To

1.The Deputy State Tax Officer-II,
Thiruvanmiyur Assessment Circle,
Nandanam, Chennai - 600 035.

2.The Commercial Tax Officer,
Thiruvanmiyur Assessment Circle,
Nandanam, Chennai - 600 035.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26988 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

vm

W.P.No.26988 of 2025
and W.M.P.Nos.30294 & 30297 of 2025

25.07.2025