



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 21280 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL OP No. 21280 of 2025

Vinoth

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State The Inspector of Police
SRMC Police Station, Chennai District.
Crime No.254 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of
his arrest concerned in Crime No. 254 of 2025, on the file of the Inspector of
Police, SRMC Police Station, Chennai District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M Vetrivel


For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 336(2), 336(3), 351(2) of BNS Act 2023 r/w 3(1), 3(2), (a) in Immoral Traffic (prevention) Act 1956, in Crime No.254 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant, lodged a complaint with the respondent police alleging that the defacto complainant on 09.05.2025, he went to Ara Salon and Spa at Porur Kundrathur Road, wherein the petitioner and others have engaged Women for immoral activities and including the defacto complainant to have relationship with women therein and for such immoral activities, demanded money Rs.2,000/-, when the defacto complainant questioned them, that time petitioner and other abused him in filthy language and threatened with dire consequences, based on the complaint, FIR was registered. Hence, the complainant.




WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner is innocent; that he has been falsely implicated in this case; and that in any case custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the investigation is not yet completed. He would further submit that the co-accused arrested and subsequently enlarged on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of dispute and the fact that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



WEB COPY


(a) Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Poonamallee** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent every Tuesday and Sunday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of three months and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as



laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala**
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 of BNS.

10-09-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



CRL OP No. 21280 of 2025



To
WEB COPY

- 1.The State The Inspector of Police
SRMC Police Station, Chennai District.
Crime No.254 of 2025.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate, Poonamallee.
- 3.The public prosecutor, High Court of
Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 21280 of 2025



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 21280 of
2025**

10-09-2025