



W.P.No.26586 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

Dated : 22.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.26586 of 2025
& W.M.P.Nos.29886 & 29885 of 2025

Tvl AVN Trader Ventures Private Limited
Represented by its Director - Nirenjan
Arunachalam No. 85/31, New Manjal
Valagam ,Nasiyanoor Road, Erode,
Tamilnadu- 638107

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Tax Officer
Office Of The Commercial Tax Officer,
Thindal Assessment Circle, Erode

...Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings passed by the Respondent in the Order vide GSTIN 33AAPCA1715N1ZJ/2021-22 dated 20.06.2025 along with consequential proceedings under section 73 of the act issued in FORM GST DRC 07 vide ref no. ZD330625215930K dated 20.06.2025 for the year 2021-2022 to quash the same



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26586 of 2025

For Petitioner : Ms.R. Hemalatha

For Respondent : Mr.C.Harsha Raj, SGP


ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 20.06.2025 passed by the respondent.

2. Mr.C.Harsha Raj, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Therefore, this petition has been filed.

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner is willing to pay



W.P.No.26586 of 2025


WEB COPY

10% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. That apart, since the petition has been filed within the limitation, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent, subject to the payment of 10% of the disputed tax amount by the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was



W.P.No.26586 of 2025

WEB COPY

uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

8. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of



W.P.No.26586 of 2025

the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

WEB COPY

9. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner.

10. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is willing to pay 10% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 20.06.2025 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 20.06.2025 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 10% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26586 of 2025

within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of payment of amount as stated above.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

11. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.



W.P.No.26586 of 2025

22.07.2025

WEB COPY

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa

To

The State Tax Officer

Office Of The Commercial Tax Officer,

Thindal Assessment Circle, Erode



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26586 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.No.26586 of 2025
& W.M.P.Nos.29886 & 29885 of 2025

22.07.2025