



Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

WEB COPY

M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

This matter is listed today before this Court under the caption
“for Being Mentioned”.

2. It is seen that in the order dated 04.08.2025, **the section of the offence** has been wrongly mentioned as **“U/s 4 of Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992”** instead of **“U/s 3 of Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992”**

3. The Registry is directed to carryout the necessary amendment and issue a fresh order copy.

06.08.2025

dna



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

Dna

Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

06.08.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :04.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

Ajay Rohan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
F-3, Nungambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.335 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime
No.335 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Saravanakumar
For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 29.05.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 191 (2), 296 (b),
115 (2), 118 (1), 109, 324 (4) and 351 (3) of BNS Act, 2023, and Section 4
of the Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992, in



Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

Crime No.335 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused attacked one Selva Bharathi on 22.05.2025 in a drunken brawl at Lord of Drinks Bar, Nungambakkam High Road, Chennai. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is in judicial custody from 29.05.2025 and hence, further custody of the petitioner is not required Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that, petitioner has other previous cases.

5. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, petitioner has been granted bail in other cases. He further submitted that, petitioner's detention order has been revoked in G.O.Rt.No.4523 dated



Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

WEB COPY
Court.

25.07.2025. He also submitted that, co-accused were granted bail by this

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks; thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04.08.2025

sma



CrI.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

WEB COPY

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

- 1.XIV Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore,
Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
F-3, Nungambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
- 3.The Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

sma

Crl.O.P.No.20683 of 2025

04.08.2025