



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.09.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

1. Jaganraj
2. Alamelu

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Magaral Police Station.
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No.94 of 2025

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on Anticipatory bail in Cr.No.94/2025 pending before the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.N.Subramani

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 132, 296(b), 351(3), 75(2) of



Crl.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

BNS, 2023 read with Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act, ,
in Crime No.94 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory
bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that the defacto complainant is a Road Inspector. While the laying of tar road work was in progress, the petitioners allegedly damaged the road. When the defacto complainant questioned them, the petitioners are said to have abused her in filthy language and pushed her. Hence, the complaint was registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioners submitted that the entire allegation is false, vindictive, wanton and they are no way connected with the offence. He would submit that they have not at all committed any of offence as alleged by the respondent police and they have been falsely implicated in this case and they will abide by any condition that may be imposed by this court. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent police, opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that



CrI.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

the investigation is almost completed.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances including the fact that the investigation is almost completed and taking into account the undertaking of the petitioners to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this court, this Court is inclined to grant **interim anticipatory bail** to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on **interim bail in the event of arrest** or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kancheepuram** on condition that the petitioners shall also execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for interim anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the 1st petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Sunday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of eight weeks, until further order; the 2nd petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S..

08.09.2025

rpl

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kancheepuram.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Magaral Police Station.
Kancheepuram District. .

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

rpl

Crl.O.P.No.20157 of 2025

08.09.2025