



Crl.R.C.No.1529 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.R.C.No.1529 of 2025

V.Subramanian

..... Petitioner

Vs

1.R.Pandian

2.R.Mohan

3.D.Jayakumar

4.Vasantha

5.Kannan

6.Mathiazhagan

7.Premkumar

8.State rep.by Sub Inspector of Police,
Villupuram Taluk Town Police Station,
Villupuram District
crime No.107 of 2011

..... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Case is filed under Section 438 r/w 442 of BNSS, praying to set aside the judgment of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Villupuram dated 01.06.2015 made in STC.No.2786 of 2011 and the judgment in Crl.A.No.71 of 2018 passed by the the learned Additional District Sessions Judge, (Fast Track Court), Villupuram dated 31.10.2023.

For Petitioner : M/s.G.Sumitra

For Respondents

For R1 to 7 : Mr.S.Sairaman



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1529 of 2025

For R8 : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate(crl.side)

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed against the judgment passed in Crl.A.No.71 of 2018 by the the learned Additional District Sessions Judge, (Fast Track Court), Villupuram dated 31.10.2023 confirming the judgment of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Villupuram dated 01.06.2015 in STC.No.2786 of 2011.

2. The case of the prosecution was that on 12.10.2010 at about 10.15 a.m., there was dispute between the petitioner and the accused while they were doing official duty in respect of issuance of no objection certificate. The accused also scolded him with filthy languages. On the complaint, the respondent registered FIR in crime No.107 of 2011 for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 506(i) r/w 149 of IPC. After completion of investigation, final report was filed and the same was taken cognizance by the trial court.

3. On the side of the prosecution, in order of bring the charges to home, they examined PW1 to PW6 and marked Ex.P1 to Ex.P4. On the side of



Crl.R.C.No.1529 of 2025

the accused, they examined DW1 and marked Ex.D1 and Ex.D2. On perusal of

WEB COPY oral and documentary evidences, the trial court found the accused not guilty and acquitted them. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner preferred appeal and the same was also dismissed confirming the order of acquittal. Aggrieved by the same, this criminal revision case has been filed.

4. On perusal of records, it is revealed that the prosecution failed to prove the charge beyond any doubt. That apart, the allegations levelled against the accused were also not proved by any of the witnesses. Therefore, both the courts below concurrently held that the charges are not proved and rightly acquitted the respondents. As such, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the impugned judgments.

5. Accordingly, this criminal revision case is dismissed.

28.08.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1529 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.
lok

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Villupuram
- 2.The learned Additional District Sessions Judge, (Fast Track Court),
Villupuram
- 3.Sub Inspector of Police,
Villupuram Taluk Town Police Station,
Villupuram District
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras

Crl.R.C.No.1529 of 2025

28.08.2025