



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.20187 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.07.2025

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

CrI.O.P.No.20187 of 2025

1.Amsavalli  
2.Devagi  
3.Arul  
4.Poonkodi

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,  
The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Tittagudi Police Station  
Cuddalore District. (Crime No.131 of 2025)

... Respondent

**Prayer :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Crime No.131 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Velmurugan  
For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam  
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 133, 85, 351(3) of BNS,



Crl.O.P.No.20187 of 2025

in Crime No.131 of 2025, on the file of the respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's uncle is SI in Police Department. The defacto complainant and the accused are family members. The accused are sister-in-law and mother-in-law of the victim. From the beginning of the marriage, the accused tortured the victim and also abused her in filthy language. Hence the case.

3. The contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the defacto complainant's husband is residing abroad, and since the accused were living opposite her house, they allegedly tortured the victim and also abused her in filthy language. Hence, he prays for the grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He also submitted that due to family dispute, the accused abused the defacto complainant and tortured her and there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel appearing



Crl.O.P.No.20187 of 2025

on both sides and the nature of the allegations, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Tittagudi**, on condition that petitioners shall execute separate bond each for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;**



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20187 of 2025

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make themselves available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala** [(2005)13 SCC 283];

[h] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

**28.07.2025**

drl

4/6



Crl.O.P.No.20187 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,  
Tittagudi.
2. The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Tittagudi Police Station  
Cuddalore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20187 of 2025

**M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

drl

**Crl.O.P.No.20187 of 2025**

**28.07.2025**