



Crl.O.P.No.20104 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.20104 of 2025

Prem

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
Inspector of Police
Kolathur Police Station
Salem District
Crime No.268 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioners on Anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.268 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Elangovan
For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



Crl.O.P.No.20104 of 2025


WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 191(2), 191(3), 324(4), 324(5), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.268 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, the defacto Complainant with one Tamilselvan were travelling in car from Mettur to Karnataka. In the midway, the petitioner and other accused chased the defacto Complainant in two wheeler, shouted and threatened them to stop the car, thereby assaulted the defacto complainant and his friend. Both of them sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the defacto Complainant and his friend hit the two wheeler of the petitioner's friend and fled away. Hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.20104 of 2025


WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the victims were attacked using wooden log and sustained injuries. However, the injured were discharged from hospital. He also submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides, nature of allegation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, **the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees five thousand Only) to the credit of Crime No.268 of 2025** and on such deposit, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order



Crl.O.P.No.20104 of 2025

copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Mettur, Salem District** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:


[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.**

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for



CrI.O.P.No.20104 of 2025

WEB COPY

interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

30.07.2025

shl



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20104 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

shl

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I,
Mettur, Salem District
2. The Inspector of Police
Kolathur Police Station
Salem District
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.20104 of 2025

30.07.2025