



CRP No. 3082 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 19-08-2025**

WEB COPY

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI**

**CRP No. 3082 of 2025**

**AND**

**CMP NO. 17255 OF 2025, CRP NO. 3093 OF 2025**

1. M.Venkatesh  
S/o. Muniappa, Kothur Village,  
Mookandapalli Post, Hosur Taluk,  
Krishnagiri District.

Petitioner in both CRPs

Vs

1. M.Muniraj  
S/o. Late. Pillamma and Muniyappa  
No. 1/98, Mornapalli Village, M.G.R  
College Post, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri  
District.

Respondent in both CRPs

**PRAYER in CRP No. 3082 of 2025:**

This Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India  
praying to set aside the Order passed in I.A. No. 16 of 2025 in O.S No. 159 of  
2014 dated 19.06.2025 on the file of the Principal Subordinate Court at Hosur,



Krishnagiri District.

WEB COPY

**PRAYER in CRP No. 3093 of 2025**

This Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying to set aside the order passed in IA.No.15 of 2025 in OS.No.159 of 2014, dated 19-06-2025, on the file of the Prl.Subordinate Court at Hosur, Krishnagiri by allowing present CRP.

**In both CRPs**


For Petitioner: M/s.M.Venkatesh

For Respondent: No appearance

**COMMON ORDER**

Heard Mr. M.Venkatesh, learned counsel for the revision petitioners in both the revisions.

2. The revision petitioner has taken out applications in I.A. Nos.15 & 16 of 2025 in O.S.No.159 of 2014, in and by which they had sought permission from the DRO to produce NA.KA.No.8585/14/J2 dated 09.12.2014 and to examine the DRO, as a witness. Both the applications have been dismissed by the trial Court on the ground that there is no pleading with regard to these



proceedings in the written statement.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that earlier the respondent had taken out an application in I.A.No.12/2023, in and whereby the said order of the DRO is eschewed since the same was permitted to be marked without the leave of the court. In the said order, I find that the trial court has granted liberty to the revision petitioner to mark the said document through the RDO Krishnagiri. The Principal Subordinate Court has inadvertently mentioned the statutory authority as the RDO, Krishnagiri, instead of the DRO, Krishnagiri.

4. I find from the application filed by the revision petitioner that it is he specific case where the document has been summoned only from the DRO, Krishnagiri, and not from the RDO, Krishnagiri. Further, when the Court had granted liberty while disposing of I.A.No.12/2023, the present applications filed by the revision petitioners ought to have been allowed. Unfortunately, without even noticing the liberty granted earlier, the trial Court has proceeded to dismiss the applications. In the light of the above, I am inclined to set aside the order passed in I.A.Nos.15 & 16 of 2025 and consequently, I.A.Nos. .15 & 16 of 2025 shall stand allowed.



CRP No. 3082 of 2025



5. With the above direction, these civil revision petitions are disposed of.

No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

WEB COPY

**19-08-2025**


jrs

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



CRP No. 3082 of 2025



To

The Principal Subordinate Court at Hosur, Krishnagiri.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

CRP No. 3082 of 2025



**P.B.BALAJI J.**

jrs

**CRP No. 3082 of 2025  
AND CMP NO. 17255  
OF 2025,CRP NO. 3093  
OF 2025**

**19-08-2025**