

Crl.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 31.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

1.Sureshkumar
2.M.Raguvarman

.. Petitioners/A5 & A6

Vs.

The State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kundadam Town Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Crime No.193 of 2025)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in Crime No.193 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Ponraj

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CrI.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY The petitioners were apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 108 of BNS, 2023 (under Section 306 of IPC) in Crime No.193 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the deceased and her husband, who is the de facto complainant herein, were doing corn business. The petitioners/A5 and A6, are partners of A4. There was a dispute between A3 and A4 and the deceased regarding the supply of corn and repayment. A3 and A4, who are partners, allegedly supplied corn to the deceased and demanded Rs.85 lakhs without doing so, and obtained two cheques worth approximately Rs.40 lakhs and Rs.45 lakhs, which led to the deceased committing suicide. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent, have not committed any offence, as alleged by the prosecution and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners have no involvement except being partners, and



Crl.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

are ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and the petitioners. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate, Dharapuram**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent



Crl.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;


[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for further interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to



Crl.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

WEB COPY

the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

31.07.2025

cda

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Dharapuram.
- 2.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Chidambaram Town Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

cda

Crl.O.P.No.19900 of 2025

31.07.2025