



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

Manjula

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch (CCB),
Coimbatore District. (Crime No.14 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of her arrest in connection with Crime No.14 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.C.Mohan Raj

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences under Sections 465, 467, 468, and 120B of IPC in Crime No.14 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused, by suppressing the prior sale made by her mother of 5 ½ cents to the wife of one Annamalai, created an encumbrance over the property and fraudulently sold the entire extent of 11 cents to a third party. Hence the case.

3. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the co accused in this case have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.19418 of 2025 dated 08.07.2025. He further submitted that a civil dispute is pending between the parties and further, the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A2.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the de facto complainant strongly objected for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner along with her brother and sister had knowingly involved in this fraudulent act.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations and that the co-accused have been enlarged on anticipatory bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.VII, Coimbatore**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and she shall make herself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

23.07.2025

ham



Crl.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.VII,
Coimbatore.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch (CCB),
Coimbatore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.19892 of 2025

23.07.2025